



Changing Lives for Heart Failure Patients

2013 Annual Report

A Year of Transformation

2013 was truly a transformational year for Sunshine Heart as we achieved numerous clinical, R&D and corporate financing milestones. We laid the groundwork for executing successful clinical studies in both the United States and Europe. A variety of initiatives were launched in the fourth quarter of 2013 with respect to our COUNTER HF[™] U.S. investigational study, our OPTIONS HF European CE mark post market study and fully implantable program. We continue to focus on creating value in the company and accomplishing our vision to help patients around the world who suffer from moderate to severe heart failure.

Highlights of the past year demonstrate the strength of our progress in this endeavor:

- Closed two public offerings for a combined \$61.1M
- Established \$25M equity line of credit
- Initiated COUNTER HF U.S. pivotal study
- Initiated OPTIONS HF post-market EU study
- 5/20 patients successfully weaned by physicians from U.S. feasibility study
- Reached 25 implants, 25 patient years milestone
- Successfully completed acute and chronic animal studies for the next-generation, fully implantable C-Pulse[®] System
- Strengthened our board and filled key management roles
- Communicated early clinical results of OPTIONS HF patient data showing improved results
 from U.S. feasibility study

As we move into the next phase of our journey, the Company is clearly focused on successfully executing our clinical studies. Critical to our success will be selecting the appropriate patients, increasing physician and patient awareness, and providing the necessary enrollment tools and clinical support to our centers.

We will continue to ensure timely execution of our objectives in 2014 and beyond. Thank you for your continued support to bring C-Pulse to market, and in doing so, potentially improve the quality of life for heart failure patients, the cost efficiency of the healthcare system, and the financial success of our investors.

Market development therapies always take longer to establish than technologies in developed markets or market segments. We are looking to change how physicians treat patients by offering a potentially groundbreaking therapy. This offers greater challenges but also seemingly greater potential reward as well. We truly want to make a bold difference in the heart failure community and the lives of these patients.

Dave Rosa Chief Executive Officer April 15, 2014



Changing Lives for Heart Failure Patients

The C-Pulse® System is a minimally invasive balloon counter-pulsation treatment designed to improve heart function and reduce re-hospitalization due to worsening heart failure (HF). This unique therapy offers patients with moderate to severe heart failure the potential to relieve symptoms and halt disease progression.

Implanted outside the patient's bloodstream, the extra-aortic approach allows patients to safely disconnect from the system for intervals of freedom. Preliminary results, from the C-Pulse System U.S. feasibility study completed in 2011, have indicated relief of heart failure symptoms, improved quality of life and cardiac function, and reduced need for re-hospitalization due to worsening heart failure.

Providing Hope for Heart Failure Patients

Impacting the Healthcare System

Under the Affordable Care Act, U.S. hospitals must limit 30-day re-hospitalization rates due to worsening heart failure or face financial penalties. This creates a greater burden on them to find solutions for patients to minimize re-hospitalizations due to worsening heart failure. In the U.S. feasibility study concluded in 2011, the Company reported very low re-hospitalization rates at both 6- and 12-month follow up. This could potentially have a significant impact on both patient management and controlling health care costs.

Working Toward the Future: Fully Implantable System

We continue to work toward development of our thirdgeneration system, a fully implantable version which will not require a percutaneous lead. This will eliminate the risk of exit site infections as well as the need to carry an external pump. It also has the potential to add another therapeutic application, allowing the C-Pulse technology to be used with patients suffering from angina. As these patients experience reduced coronary artery blood flow associated with this condition, the C-Pulse therapy can provide additional coronary blood flow, which may alleviate the symptoms.

In November 2013, the Company successfully completed an acute animal study and in December initiated a chronic animal pump study. These evaluations have been conducted at the Texas Heart Institute under the guidance of Dr. Bud Frazier and Dr. William Cohn of the Texas Heart Institute, Houston TX; Dr. Sanjeev Aggarwal of the Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, MO; and Dr. Mark Slaughter of Jewish Hospital, Louisville, KY.

Designed to improve cardiac

Delivering Additional Clinical Evidence

U.S. Pivotal Study: COUNTER HF™

COUNTER HF is a prospective, randomized, multi-center investigational clinical study being conducted by heart failure cardiologists and cardiac surgeons in the U.S. The purpose of the study is to determine whether the C-Pulse System is a safe and effective treatment for NYHA Class III and Ambulatory Class IV patients.

- Primary efficacy endpoint: Freedom from worsening HF resulting in hospitalization, LVAD implant, heart transplant and HF-related death
- First patient enrolled in September 2013
- Eight centers activated
- All U.S. feasibility study centers participating in COUNTER HF study
- Expected to enroll a total of 388 patients in up to 40 clinical centers

EU Post-Market Study: OPTIONS HF

With study design and endpoints mirroring the U.S. COUNTER HF study, the OPTIONS HF EU post-market study aims to provide additional clinical evidence that can be helpful for future commercialization, reimbursement support and publications. The dissemination of this data will also help to provide a sense of potential results in the U.S. COUNTER HF study.

- In 2013, eight patients in total were implanted at The Berlin German Heart Center, Hannover Medical Center and McGill University, Canada.
- Initial data released in October showed improvements over our U.S. feasibility study with respect to certain hemodynamic and performance data. In addition, there were no instances of re-hospitalization due to worsening HF, no strokes, bleeding events, clots, deaths nor any exit site or other infections.
- Looking forward, the study will enroll up to 50 patients across 8-10 centers expected in Germany, Italy, Canada and the U.K., with additional expansion expected later in Austria and Switzerland.



Making a Financial Transformation

In 2013, investors continued their strong support, which allowed the Company to raise the necessary funds to accomplish many of our future objectives. These include executing on clinical studies, raising awareness among physicians and patients and continued development of our fully implantable system. 2013 financial accomplishments included:

- Closed two public offerings for a combined \$61.1M, to fund COUNTER HF and OPTIONS HF studies, increase patient and physician awareness, continue development of fully implantable system and further explore the opportunity to treat angina patients.
- Established \$25M equity line of credit, to provide access to additional resources should it be needed.
- Delisted from the Australian stock exchange (ASX) to reduce administrative costs and increase operating efficiencies.
- Increased Company market value, moving from \$57 million to \$164 million by the end of 2013.

Making a Real Difference

The C-Pulse® Heart Assist System may offer physicians an effective new option for treating heart failure patients, enabling them to relieve symptoms and halt the progression of their disease.

function to enhance quality of life and potentially

Leading With Confidence

In 2013 we further solidified our corporate infrastructure with the addition of key leadership.

- Patrick Verta, M.D. was appointed Chief Medical Officer. Patrick brings a unique level of experience, having run the largest worldwide carotid stent study to date (CREST). He will be focused on increasing our exposure to the medical community by driving data publication, managing our infection control advisory committee as well as oversight of the COUNTER HF and OPTIONS HF clinical studies.
- Molly Wade joined Sunshine Heart as Senior Director of Clinical Recruitment in November. Molly has already begun the U.S. field transformation by identifying candidates that possess a unique combination of sales experience and clinical aptitude in order to drive site enrollment for the COUNTER HF clinical study.
- Alfred Ricer became the leader of our European team in January. He has close ties to cardiologists throughout Europe and has expertise in market development and reimbursement, which are critical in bringing new therapies to the market.

With our continued presence on the NASDAQ, the Company continued the transition of our board of directors to further reflect this transformation. We were pleased to be able to add two highly respected and experienced industry veterans.

- Jon Salveson, Vice Chairman, Investment Banking and Chairman of the Healthcare Investment Banking Group at Piper Jaffray Companies, brings extensive corporate transaction and financing experience to the Company. Jon has already had a significant impact with his guidance during our successful 2013 financing accomplishments.
- Warren Watson brings decades of extensive clinical, research and development, and operational experience at Medtronic to Sunshine Heart. His experience is vital as we continue to progress through U.S. and European clinical studies. Warren's broad experience across a number of different business segments and active participation has already proven invaluable to the Company.

Looking Ahead

In 2014 our plans include executing on the following objectives:

Continued execution of our COUNTER HF and OPTIONS HF studies

> Increasing physician awareness in the U.S. and Europe with numerous publications and presentations on the C-Pulse technology

Piloting a sustained public awareness campaign to drive patient enrollment

Continued development of the fully implantable system

Further investigation of the market potential for the angina patient population

"In addition to its clinical benefits, the C-pulse system offers the advantage of implantation through a low risk, minimally invasive procedure with a short recovery period. Unlike other devices used for circulatory support, the lack of direct blood contact offers a favorable risk profile without the need for systemic anticoagulation." Sanjeev Aggarwal, M.D. MID AMERICA

Mid-America Heart Institute Kansas City, MO



"I find the C-Pulse System easy to implant and a quick procedure from start to finish. Our patients are extremely satisfied with C-Pulse. It is gratifying to see them benefit from a new therapy." Dr. Holger Hotz

Cardio Centrum, Berlin, Germany

reduce re-hospitalization

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

☑ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended: December 31, 2013

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from to Commission file number 001-35312



SUNSHINE HEART, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

12988 Valley View Road

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344 (Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(952) 345-4200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

 Title of each class
 Name of each exchange on which registered

 Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share
 The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Nasdaq Capital Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes \Box No \boxtimes Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes \Box No \boxtimes

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (\S 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer \Box Accelerated filer \Box Non-accelerated filer \Box Smaller reporting company \boxtimes (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes \Box No \boxtimes As of June 28, 2013, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of shares of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (based upon the June 28, 2013 closing sale price of \$5.37 per share) was approximately \$46.3 million.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, outstanding as of February 27, 2014 was 16,862,596 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 29, 2014 (the **"2014 Proxy Statement"**), which is expected to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

68-0533453 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

SUNSHINE HEART, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K Table of Contents

PART I		2
Item 1.	Business	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	29
Item 2.	Properties	29
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	30
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	30
PART II		31
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity	
	Securities	31
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	32
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	51
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	51
Item 9B.	Other Information	51
PART III		52
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	52
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	52
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	52
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	52
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	52
PART IV		52
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	52
SIGNATUF	ES	53

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the *"Securities Act"*), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *"Exchange Act"*). These forward-looking statements relate to us, our business prospects and our results of operations and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties posed by many factors and events that could cause our actual business, prospects and results of operations to differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those described under the heading "Risk Factors" included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by the following words: "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "ongoing," "plan," "potential," "predict," "project," "should," "will," "would" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements in order to reflect events or circumstances that might subsequently arise. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report and in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the *"SEC"*) that advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

Unless otherwise specified or indicated by the context, "Sunshine Heart," "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Sunshine Heart, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

We are an early-stage medical device company focused on developing, manufacturing and commercializing our C-Pulse® Heart Assist System (the *"C-Pulse System"*) for treatment of Class III and ambulatory Class IV heart failure. The C-Pulse System utilizes the scientific principles of intra-aortic balloon counter-pulsation applied in an extra-aortic approach to assist the left ventricle by reducing the workload required to pump blood throughout the body, while increasing blood flow to the coronary arteries.

We are in the process of pursuing regulatory approvals necessary to sell our system in the United States. We completed enrollment of our North American feasibility clinical study in the first half of 2011. In November 2011, we announced the preliminary results of the six-month follow-up period for the feasibility study and we submitted the clinical data to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the *"FDA"*). In March 2012, the FDA notified us that it completed its review of the C-Pulse System feasibility study data, concluded we met the applicable agency requirements, and indicated that we can move forward with an investigational device exemption (*"IDE"*) application. In October 2012, we announced the results of the 12-month follow-up period for the feasibility study. In November 2012, the FDA provided us with unconditional approval to initiate a pivotal study. Enrollment of our COUNTER HFTM pivotal study commenced in September 2013. We currently expect to complete enrollment of our pivotal study in 2016 and do not anticipate marketing our system in the United States before 2017.

We obtained CE Mark approval for the C-Pulse System in July 2012 and have taken initial steps to evaluate the market potential for our system in targeted countries that accept the CE Mark in anticipation of commencing commercial sales. In order to gain additional clinical data and support reimbursement in Europe, we have initiated a post-market study in Europe which may include data from other geographies (e.g., Canada) that will evaluate endpoints similar to those for our U.S. pivotal study and enrollment under this study commenced in the second quarter of 2013. We do not currently plan to commercialize the C-Pulse System in any European country unless the product is approved for reimbursement. We do not expect to receive reimbursement in Germany before 2015 and cannot be certain of when, or if, we will receive reimbursement in Germany or other targeted countries.

We incurred net losses of \$21.8 million and \$14.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Historically, sales of the C-Pulse Heart System to hospitals and clinics under contract in conjunction with our North American FDA clinical studies have generated all of our revenue. Our C-Pulse Heart System is not approved for commercial sale. However, the FDA has assigned the C-Pulse System to a Category B designation, making it eligible for reimbursement at certain US sites during our clinical studies. Consequently, upon implant or our C-Pulse System, we are able to invoice hospitals and clinics that are eligible for reimbursement by Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance companies. We expect to continue to incur significant net losses as we continue to conduct clinical studies and pursue commercialization, and as we ramp up sales of our system.

Our Market Opportunity

Heart failure is one of the leading causes of death in the United States and other developed countries. The American Heart Association estimates that 5.7 million people in the United States age 20 and over are affected by heart failure, with an estimated 670,000 new cases diagnosed each year. Nearly 30% of heart failure patients are below the age of 60, and congestive heart failure is the highest U.S. chronic health care expense category.

Heart failure is a progressive disease caused by impairment of the left heart's ability to pump blood to the various organs of the body. Patients with heart failure commonly experience shortness of breath, fatigue, difficulty exercising and swelling of the legs. The heart becomes weak or stiff and enlarges over time, making it harder for the left heart to pump the blood needed for the body to function properly. The severity of heart failure depends on how well a person's heart is able to pump blood throughout the body. A common measure of heart failure severity is the New York Heart Association (the *"NYHA"*) Class guideline. Patients are classified in Classes I through IV based on their symptoms and functional limitations. Classes I and II include patients with mild heart failure, Class III with moderate heart failure, and Class IV with severe heart failure.

Our C-Pulse System is intended for NYHA Class III and ambulatory Class IV patients. It is estimated that approximately 1.5 million heart failure patients in the United States fall into this classification range, and we believe approximately 3.7 million patients in Europe are similarly affected.

Treatment alternatives currently available for Class III heart failure patients in the United States consist primarily of pharmacological therapies and pacing devices that are designed to address heart rhythm issues. Although these treatments may provide symptomatic relief and prolong the life of patients, they do not often halt the progression of congestive heart failure. Circulatory assist devices, specifically left ventricular assist devices (*"LVADs"*) have been used to treat Class IV patients in the United States, and one product received FDA approval in the United States for Class IIIb patients although the device is not reimbursed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for Class IIIb patients. These devices are designed to take over some or all of the pumping function of the heart by mechanically pumping blood into the aorta. Although such products are effective in increasing blood flow, by design these devices are in contact with the patient's bloodstream, increasing the risk of adverse events, including thrombosis, bleeding and neurologic events.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to become a market leader in the treatment of moderate to severe heart failure patients through the commercialization of our C-Pulse System, and to continue the development of the system to make it safer and more convenient for patients and physicians. We believe that our technology will provide us with a competitive advantage in the market for treating specific segments of heart failure patients. To achieve our objectives, we intend to:

- *Conduct a Pivotal Study in the United States* We completed enrollment of the North American feasibility clinical study in the first half of 2011. In November 2011, we announced the preliminary results of the six-month follow-up period for our North American feasibility clinical study and we submitted the clinical data to the FDA. In March 2012, the FDA notified us that it completed its review of the C-Pulse System feasibility study data, concluded we met the applicable agency requirements, and indicated that we can move forward with an IDE application. In November 2012, the FDA provided us with unconditional approval to initiate a pivotal study. We commenced enrollment in our COUNTER HF pivotal study in the third quarter of 2013, and currently expect to complete it in 2016.
- Conduct a Post-Market Study in Europe to Gain Additional Clinical Data We have retained consultants to analyze the conditions in various European countries for potential reimbursement for our system and the capabilities of existing hospitals and clinics to implant the C-Pulse System properly and understand the potential benefits of our system. We are targeting the leading LVAD/transplant centers to gain support, promote our technology, and conduct a non-randomized post-market study, the OPTIONS HF study that will evaluate endpoints similar to those for our U.S. pivotal study to aid our reimbursement efforts and gain additional clinical data. We commenced enrollment in second quarter of 2013 and expect to complete enrollment in this study in 2014 in our initial target markets.
- Prepare for the Commercial Launch of the C-Pulse System in Europe We obtained CE Mark approval for the C-Pulse System in July 2012 and have taken initial steps to evaluate the market potential for our system in targeted countries in Europe in anticipation of commencing commercial sales. We initially plan to sell the C-Pulse System in Europe directly and through experienced distributors in countries where our system is approved for reimbursement or where we otherwise believe there might be a potentially profitable market for our system. We expect our initial sales efforts in Europe will focus on Germany and Italy, which we believe are the largest potential markets for the C-Pulse System in Europe and have supported reimbursement for heart failure technologies in the past. We do not expect to receive reimbursement in Germany before 2015 and cannot be certain of when, or if, we will receive reimbursement in Germany or other targeted countries.
- Continue to Enhance the C-Pulse System We believe it will be important to continue refining the C-Pulse System to make it more appealing for both patients and physicians. Since completing our 20 patient North American feasibility study, we have made several improvements to the C-Pulse System based on the feasibility study outcomes and feedback we received from surgeons and patients during the study. These changes include enhancements to our driver, cuff, and Percutaneous Interface Leads ("PIL"), among others. We have also completed initial animal studies of a next-generation, fully-implantable C-Pulse System, which would eliminate the risk of exit-site infections.

Our System

The C-Pulse System utilizes the scientific principles of intra-aortic balloon counter-pulsation applied in an extra-aortic approach to assist the left ventricle by reducing the workload required to pump blood throughout the body, while increasing blood flow to the coronary arteries. Combined, these potential benefits may help sustain the patient's current condition or, in some cases, reverse the heart failure process, thereby potentially preventing the need for later-stage heart failure devices, such as LVADs or artificial hearts, or for transplants. It may also provide relief from the symptoms of Class III and ambulatory Class IV heart failure, improve quality of life and cardiac function. Based on the results from our feasibility study, we also believe that some patients treated with our C-Pulse System will be able to stop using the device due to sustained improvement in their conditions as a result of the therapy.

Once implanted, the C-Pulse cuff is positioned around the patient's ascending aorta above the aortic valve. An electrocardiogram sensing lead is then attached to the heart to determine timing for cuff inflation and deflation in synchronization with the heartbeat. As the heart fills with blood, the C-Pulse cuff inflates to push blood from the aorta to the rest of the body and to the heart muscle via the coronary arteries. Just before the heart pumps, the C-Pulse cuff deflates to reduce the heart's workload through pressure changes, allowing the heart to pump with less effort. The C-Pulse cuff and electrical leads are connected to a single line that is run through the abdominal wall to connect to a power driver outside the body. The system's single unit driver and battery source are contained inside a carrying bag.

Surgeons in the feasibility phase of our clinical study initially implanted the C-Pulse System in patients via a full sternotomy and then via a mini-thoracotomy. During the feasibility study, this minimally invasive procedure was developed to allow the C-Pulse System to be implanted via a small pacemaker-like incision between the patient's ribs and sternum, rather than through a full sternotomy. The first implant using this less invasive procedure was completed in 2010. Patients implanted via our minimally invasive procedure typically require a hospital stay of four to seven days in connection with implantation of the C-Pulse System, after which they return home. This compares to an average hospital stay of 14 days for patients implanted with the C-Pulse System via a full sternotomy. Therefore, we believe this less invasive approach can reduce procedural time, hospital stays, overall cost and patient risk as compared to treatment options that require a full sternotomy.

The C-Pulse System distinguishes itself from other mechanical heart failure therapies in two important aspects, which we believe differentiate our system from other products addressing moderate to severe heart failure patients. First, the C-Pulse System is placed outside a patient's vascular system. The C-Pulse cuff is placed around a patient's ascending aorta and assists the heart's normal pumping function, rather than being inserted into the vascular system and replacing heart function like other devices such as LVADs. Because the C-Pulse System remains outside the vascular system, there is less risk of complications such as blood clots, stroke and thrombosis in comparison to other mechanical devices that reside or function inside the vascular system. Because it rests outside the vasculature, it also does not require blood thinning agents that are necessary for patients with devices that are in contact with the bloodstream. As with any implanted device with a percutaneous driver lead, patients using our system have a risk of infection from the implantation procedure or from the driver lead exit site. Any untreated sternal/mediastinal infection arising from the implantation procedure or exit site infection could result in erosion of the aortic wall or an aortic disruption. Because our system has been implanted in a limited number of patients to date, the potential competitive disadvantages and risks associated with the use of our system are not fully known at this time.

Second, once implanted, the C-Pulse System does not need to be in constant operation, and patients can safely turn the device on or off at any time. This feature enables patients to disconnect from the device to perform certain activities such as showering. Patients are not required to visit a medical facility when turning our device on or off or using the device. However, to maximize the benefit from the C-Pulse System, patients are advised to turn off the system only for short periods of time and for specified activities. If the C-Pulse System is not used as directed, patients might experience a return of their heart failure symptoms, a loss of any improvement in their condition resulting from use of our system or an overall worsening of their heart failure symptoms compared to when they began using our system.

Clinical Development

Our North American feasibility clinical study was primarily designed to assess safety and provide indications of performance of the C-Pulse System in moderate to severe heart failure patients who suffer from symptoms such as shortness of breath and reduced mobility. In the first half of 2011, we completed enrollment and implantation of 20 patients in the study and received FDA approval of an expansion protocol to allow us to implant up to 20 additional patients and add two centers to our feasibility study. We have implanted three additional patients with the C-Pulse System since the original 20 patients, one in the United States and two in Canada. We currently do not have plans to implant any additional patients in the United States because the FDA has granted us full approval of the IDE pivotal study.

In November 2011, we announced the preliminary results of the six-month follow-up period for the feasibility study and we submitted the clinical data to the FDA. The table below summarizes results from the six-month follow-up data as well as the 12-month data, which became available in June 2012. In July 2012 we also completed a two-year follow-up for a patient implanted with our system.

Summary of Efficacy Measures

Parameter		atients ard Deviation (Range) (1) Change from Baseline(2) at 12 months Number of Patients=12 (4)	Interpretation
Quality of Life (MLWHF score)(5)	-23.4 ± 19.0	-24.6 ± 16.5	A reduction of seven points (-7) demonstrates material improvement in patient quality of life. Average patient results at six and 12 months were more than three times the reduction needed to show a material improvement in quality of life using the MLWHF standard.
NYHA Class	-1.1 ± 0.7	-1.2 ± 0.8	Material reduction to NYHA Class for most patients as indicated in footnote 6 below.
Six Minute Hall Walk (meters)	24.1 ± 62.6	46.8 ± 64.9	On average, patients were able to walk an additional 24 meters during a six-minute period six months after implantation compared to their pre-implantation abilities. This improvement doubled from six to 12 months.

⁽¹⁾ All event types and relationships to device have been adjudicated by the Clinical Events Committee (the "*CEC*"). The numbers in the chart reflect the average change in patient results and the range of patient results for the particular parameter after C-Pulse System implant.

- (2) Baseline reflects a patient's result for the particular parameter prior to C-Pulse System implant.
- (3) Patients at six months exclude one patient that received a heart transplant, one patient implanted with an LVAD, one patient death during surgery to treat a sternal infection, one patient death resulting from a non-device related drug allergic reaction, and one patient death for which the autopsy report notes "no definite anatomic cause of death" and for which the investigator stated the death was due to a respiratory, non-device related issue.
- (4) Patient population at 12 months includes patients from six-month follow-up, excluding one patient who received a heart transplant at day 212, one patient removed from the study at day 232 due to issues with the PIL that led physician to implant an LVAD, and one patient that was explanted due to a fall that resulted in damage to the PIL.
- (5) Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Quality of Life (*"MLWHF"*) scores are derived from a questionnaire that asks each patient to indicate, using a six-point scale (zero to five), how much each of 21 facets prevents the patient from living as desired.
- (6) The table below summarizes the data from follow-up periods indicated for NYHA Class:

Follow-up Period	No Change	1 Class Reduction	2 Class Reduction	3 Class Reduction
6 months	3	7	5	0
12 months	2	7	2	1

Each decrease in NYHA Class represents an improvement to a patient's heart failure symptoms or a reduction in the patient's functional limitations.

Summary of Safety Device Related Events at Six and 12 Months (1)

	All Subjects (N=20)	
	6 months	12 months
Aortic Disruption (e.g., aortic rupture)(2)	1	1
Neurological Dysfunction (e.g., stroke)	0	0
Myocardial Infarction (heart attack)	0	0
Major Infection		
Localized Non-Device Infection—PICC Line (3)	1	1
Drive-Line Exit Site Infection	8	8
• Pocket Infection (4)	0	0
• Internal Pump Component, Inflow or Outflow Tract Infection		
PIL (Replaceable Portion of Drive-line)	1	1
• Sepsis (5)	0	0
Any Other Device-related AE Acute Renal Dysfunction (6)	1	1
Patients Re-hospitalized due to Worsening Heart Failure	1	3(7)

- (1) All event types and relationships to device have been adjudicated by the CEC. All events indicate number of patients with events.
- (2) Device related adverse event of aortic disruption at time of re-do surgery for mediastinitis, which is swelling and irritation (inflammation) of the area between the lungs (mediastinum), usually caused by infection.
- (3) A *"PICC Line"* is a peripherally inserted central catheter, which is a long, slender, small, flexible tube. The PICC Line is inserted into a peripheral vein, typically in the upper arm, and advanced until the catheter tip terminates in a large vein in the chest near the heart to obtain intravenous access. It is similar to other central lines, as it terminates into a large vessel near the heart.
- (4) Pocket infection means an infection involving the subcutaneous (under the skin) pocket containing the device.
- (5) Sepsis is a condition in which the body is fighting a severe infection that has spread via the bloodstream.
- (6) Acute renal dysfunction is a rapid loss of kidney function. Computed tomography with contrast, which is used for the assessment of possible device infection, resulted in acute renal dysfunction.
- (7) The two-patient increase from six months to 12 months was noncompliant due to approximately 20% driver usage. Patients participating in our feasibility study were advised to keep the C-Pulse System on for at least 80% of each day. Our 12-month re-hospitalization rate of 15% compares to a recent study control group re-hospitalization rate of over 40% at six months (n=280), which included NYHA Class III patients who had been previously hospitalized for heart failure. We believe that this population is similar to the majority of patients profiled in our feasibility study and our planned IDE study with the exception of NYHA Class IV ambulatory.

We believe the results of the six-month and 12-month follow-up demonstrate the feasibility of the C-Pulse System implantation procedure and provide indications of safety and efficacy of the C-Pulse System in patients with moderate to severe heart failure necessary to proceed with a pivotal study. In March 2012, the FDA notified us that it completed its review of the C-Pulse System feasibility study data, concluded we met the applicable agency requirements, and indicated that we can move forward with an IDE application.

In November 2012, the FDA provided us with approval to initiate a pivotal study. We commenced enrollment of the COUNTER HF pivotal study in the third quarter of 2013, and we currently expect to complete our pivotal study enrollment in 2016. The COUNTER HF study is designed to enroll 388 patients randomized 1:1 versus optimal medical therapy, at up to 40 participating hospitals and clinics. The primary efficacy endpoint of the study is defined as freedom from worsening heart failure resulting in hospitalization, LVAD implantation, cardiac transplantation or heart failure related death.

Research and Development

Our research and development expense totaled \$13.5 million and \$8.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Research and development costs include activities related to research, development, design, testing and manufacturing of prototypes of our system as well as costs associated with certain clinical and regulatory activities.

Since completing our 20 patient North American feasibility study, we have made several improvements to the C-Pulse System based on the patient outcomes and feedback we received from surgeons and patients during the study. Changes and enhancements to our C-Pulse System, all of which have been completed and are being utilized in our pivotal study, include the following:

- Our next generation driver has been modified to be a single unit system that is lighter, smaller, and quieter than our previous C-Pulse System driver. We expect the lighter and smaller C-Pulse System driver will be easier for patients to carry with them while they are receiving therapy, and we believe a quieter C-Pulse System will reduce the inconvenience for patients, and will encourage them to utilize the C-Pulse System at higher rates.
- Our C-Pulse cuff has been enhanced so that the cuff is now designed with sutures already in place. We believe this presutured cuff will allow surgeons to implant the C-Pulse System more quickly and easily via a minimally invasive procedure.
- Our PIL, which connects the internal portion of the C-Pulse System with the external driver, has been redesigned to address some instances of PIL wear experienced in our feasibility study. In addition, the PIL was lengthened to better secure and stabilize the PIL and driveline away from the exit site. After enhanced testing performed on the revised PIL, we believe the more robust design and increased length will alleviate wear concerns in future implants and improve the safety and reliability of the C-Pulse System for patients.

We have also completed initial animal studies of a next-generation, fully implantable C-Pulse System. This next-generation system would be powered by a wireless, external battery unit, with the power driver and cuff implanted in the patient's body. A fully implantable system would eliminate the need for wires to breach the patient's skin, reducing the risk of infection and increasing the patient's comfort. These studies resulted in an increase to the animal's heart function. While we continue to focus on commercializing our current C-Pulse System, we believe development of a next-generation, fully implantable C-Pulse System would benefit our business and prospects.

We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue to conduct clinical studies and perform research and developments to our C-Pulse System, including the development of a fully implantable system.

Sales and Marketing

To date, all of our sales of the C-Pulse System have been to U.S. hospitals and clinics who participate in our clinical studies per the terms of the clinical study contracts. We have solicited hospitals and clinics for our studies through our employees, selecting hospitals and clinics for participation in our studies based on our assessment of their expertise in the area of moderate and severe heart failure and their understanding of our system. Enrollment in our North American feasibility clinical study was completed in the first half of 2011 and we did not generate any revenue from sales of our system during 2012 and through the first half of 2013. We commenced enrollment in our pivotal clinical study in the third quarter of 2013, which is projected to extend into 2016. We do not currently expect to market our system in the United States before 2017.

We obtained CE Mark approval in July 2012 and initiated enrollment in a 50 patient post-market study of our system in Europe and may include data from other geographies (e.g., Canada) in the second quarter of 2013. We expect to complete enrollment of the post-market study by the end of 2014, however, the pace of enrollment and any resulting revenues, if any, in Europe cannot be predicted with certainty. We have retained consultants to analyze the conditions in various European countries for potential reimbursement for our system and the capabilities of existing hospitals and clinics to implant the C-Pulse System properly and understand the potential benefits of our system. We initially plan to sell our system in Germany and Italy, which we believe are currently the largest potential European markets for our system and have supported reimbursement for heart failure technologies in the past. We have not obtained approval for reimbursement in any European country and do not expect to receive reimbursement in Germany before 2015. We initially plan to sell the C-Pulse System in Europe through employees and experienced distributors. We also intend to leverage the CE Mark approval to enter other targeted markets throughout the world, although the timing for our entry into other markets is uncertain and will depend on, among other factors, the success of our initial sales efforts in Europe, our ability to obtain regulatory approval and funding, the results of our pivotal clinical studies and the other factors described under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Manufacturers and Suppliers

The C-Pulse System is currently implanted only in connection with clinical studies. We outsource most of the manufacture of our system to suppliers with our activities primarily directed toward supply chain management and distribution of our system to clinics and hospitals. A number of critical components of our C-Pulse System, including the balloon, driver unit and interface lead are provided by outside suppliers and tested by us in-house. Our suppliers include large and small U.S.-based manufacturers of medical device components. In 2013, we moved the assembly of the balloon and cuff, along with the related marking and packaging operations to our Eden Prairie, Minnesota facility. These processes occur under a clean room environment. Our quality system complies with the latest requirements of ISO 13485:2003, Active Medical Device Directive (AIMD) 90/385/EEC and the US FDA Quality Systems Regulations 21 CFR Part 820.

The components for our system do not require significant customization for use in our system or necessitate any raw materials for which we believe our suppliers could not readily find alternative sources. We purchase from our suppliers primarily on a purchase order basis. We do not "second source" any components of our system, although we believe we could find alternative suppliers for each component of our system, other than the balloon, without materially interrupting production of our system at current levels. If the manufacturer of the balloon used in our system was unwilling or unable to supply this component for any reason, however, our business could be adversely affected. If we obtain regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize our C-Pulse System, all of our outsourced manufacturers would need to increase their production of our system or we would need to develop capabilities to manufacture the system ourselves.

Intellectual Property

We have established an intellectual property portfolio through which we seek to protect our system and technology. As of December 31, 2013, our portfolio consisted of 65 issued patents, of which 16 were issued in the United States and 49 were issued in other countries. We also had 27 patent applications pending, including seven in the United States as of that date. Our patents and patent applications cover various aspects of both the methodology as well as the design of the C-Pulse System device and related components.

We have developed technical knowledge that, although non-patentable, we consider to be significant in enabling us to compete. It is our policy to enter into confidentiality agreements with each of our employees and consultants prohibiting the disclosure of any confidential information or trade secrets. In addition, these agreements provide that any inventions or discoveries by employees and consultants relating to our business will be assigned to us and become our sole property.

Despite our patent rights and policies with regard to confidential information, trade secrets and inventions, we may be subject to challenges to the validity of our patents, claims that our system infringes the patent rights of others and the disclosure of our confidential information or trade secrets. These and other risks are described more fully under the heading "Risks Relating to our Intellectual Property" in the "Risk Factors" section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

At this time we are not a party to any material legal proceedings that relate to patents or proprietary rights.

Competition

Competition from medical device companies and medical device divisions of health care companies, pharmaceutical companies and gene- and cell-based therapies is intense and is expected to increase. The vast majority of Class III and Class IV heart failure patients still receive pharmacological treatment and a smaller percentage are treated with LVADs and other medical devices. We are not aware of any direct competitors that offer devices residing outside the vascular system for treatment of Class III and ambulatory Class IV heart failure, and therefore we continue to expect new competitors both from the pharmacological and the medical device space. Among the other medical device competitors that treat or may treat in the future Class III or ambulatory Class IV heart failure patients are AbioMed, Inc., Berlin Heart GmbH, CardioKinetix, Inc., HeartWare International Inc., Jarvik Heart, Inc., MicroMed Cardiovascular, Inc., SynCardia Systems, Inc., Terumo Heart, Inc. and Thoratec Corporation, as well as a range of other specialized medical device companies with devices at varying stages of development. Some of these competitors are larger than we are and have significantly greater financial resources and name recognition than we do. Our system has been implanted in a limited number of individuals to date and the efficacy and potential competitive disadvantages of the C-Pulse System are not fully known at this time.

Our ability to compete effectively depends upon our ability to distinguish our Company and our system from our competitors and their products. Factors affecting our competitive position include:

• financial resources;

- product performance and design;
- risk management;
- product safety;
- acceptance of our system in the marketplace;
- sales, marketing and distribution capabilities;
- manufacturing and assembly costs;
- pricing of our system and of our competitors' products;
- the availability of reimbursement from government and private health insurers;
- success and timing of new product development and introductions;
- regulatory approvals; and
- intellectual property protection.

We believe the C-Pulse System's lower risk profile, resulting from its position outside a patient's vascular system, the ability to temporarily disconnect the C-Pulse System without harm to the patient, and the minimally invasive manner in which the C-Pulse System can be implanted, would help our system effectively compete in the markets where it is approved for sale.

Third-Party Reimbursement

If approved in the United States, the C-Pulse System is expected to be purchased primarily by customers, such as hospitals, who then would bill various third-party payers for the services provided to the patients. These payers, which include federal health care programs (e.g., Medicare and Medicaid), state health care programs, private health insurance companies and managed care organizations, would then reimburse our customers based on established payment formulas that take into account part or all of the cost associated with these devices and the related procedures performed.

The agency responsible for administering the Medicare program, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, and a majority of private insurers have approved reimbursement for our C-Pulse System in clinical studies. The FDA has assigned the C-Pulse System to a Category B3 designation under IDE number G120201. By assigning the C-Pulse System a Category B3 designation, the FDA determined that the C-Pulse System is non-experimental/investigational. A non-experimental/investigational device refers to a device believed to be in Class I or Class II, or a device believed to be in Class III for which the incremental risk is the primary risk in question (that is, underlying questions of safety and effectiveness of that device type have been resolved), or it is known that the device type can be safe and effective because, for example, other manufacturers have obtained FDA approval for that device type.

With an IDE number assigned based on our Category B3 designation, providers are allowed to seek coverage and reimbursement for the C-Pulse System under the Medicare program from their Medicare fiscal intermediary for hospital services, carrier for physician services or Medicare Administrative Contractor for both services. There can be no assurance, however, that fiscal intermediaries or Medicare Administrative Contractors will make payment.

We are analyzing the potential for third-party reimbursement in various European countries. Third-party reimbursement requirements vary from country to country in Europe and we are not approved for reimbursement in any European country at this time. Health care laws in the United States and other countries are subject to ongoing changes, including changes to the amount of reimbursement for hospital services. Legislative proposals can substantially change the way health care is financed by both governmental and private insurers and may negatively impact payment rates for our system. Also, from time to time there are a number of legislative, regulatory and other proposals both at the federal and state levels; it remains uncertain whether there will be any future changes that will be proposed or finalized and what effect, if any, such legislation or regulations would have on our business. However, in the United States and international markets, we expect that both government and third-party payers will continue to attempt to contain or reduce the costs of health care by challenging the prices charged, or deny coverage, for health care products and services.

Government Regulations

Regulation by governmental authorities in the United States and foreign countries is a significant factor in the manufacture and marketing of our current system and any future products and in our ongoing research and development activities. All of our proposed products will require regulatory approval prior to commercialization. In particular, medical devices are subject to rigorous pre-clinical testing as a condition of approval by the FDA and by similar authorities in foreign countries.

United States

In the United States, the FDA regulates the design, manufacture, distribution and promotion of medical devices pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and its regulations. Our C-Pulse System is regulated as a medical device. To obtain FDA approval to market the C-Pulse System, the FDA requires proof of safety and efficacy in human clinical studies performed under an IDE. An IDE application must contain pre-clinical test data supporting the safety of the product for human investigational use, information on manufacturing processes and procedures, proposed clinical protocols and other information. If the IDE application is approved, human clinical studies may begin. The studies must be conducted in compliance with FDA regulations and with the approval of institutional review boards. Clinical studies are subject to registration on a government-approved internet site. The results obtained from these studies are submitted to the FDA in support of a premarket approval ("PMA") application.

During the IDE clinical study products must be manufactured in accordance with the practices expected by the FDA under the IDE. Design of the products must be done under the Quality System Regulation (the "**QSR**"). Once approved by the FDA, the products must be manufactured in registered establishments and must be manufactured in accordance with the QSR. Furthermore, the FDA may at any time inspect our facilities or the facilities of our suppliers to determine whether we or our suppliers comply with FDA regulations, including the QSR, which requires manufacturers to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of the design and manufacturing process.

Once commercialized, we will be subject to an extensive set of post-market controls, including annual PMA reports, Medical Device Reports (MDRs) on serious adverse events, complaint handling and analysis under the QSR, export controls, advertising and promotion requirements, and potential post-market studies required by FDA.

We and our suppliers are also subject to regulation by various state authorities, which may inspect our or our suppliers' facilities and manufacturing processes and enforce state regulations. Failure to comply with applicable state regulations may result in seizures, injunctions or other types of enforcement actions.

Health Care Regulation

Our business is subject to extensive federal and state government regulation. This includes the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and similar state anti-kickback laws, the federal False Claims Act and similar state false claims laws, and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (*"HIPAA"*), the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009 (the *"HITECH Act"*), the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, and similar state laws addressing privacy and security. Although we believe that our operations materially comply with the laws governing our industry, it is possible that non-compliance with existing laws or the adoption of new laws or interpretations of existing laws could adversely affect our financial performance.

Fraud and Abuse Laws

The health care industry is subject to extensive federal and state regulation. In particular, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing, recommending or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal health care program such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The definition of "remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including, for example, gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, credit arrangements, payments of cash, waivers of payments, ownership interests and providing anything at less than its fair market value. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act revises the evidentiary standard under the Anti-Kickback Statute and eliminates the requirement of actual knowledge, or specific intent, to commit a violation of the statute. This amendment to the Anti-Kickback Statute may improve the government's ability to meet its evidentiary burden for establishing liability. The penalties for violating the Anti-Kickback Statute can be severe. These sanctions include criminal penalties and civil and administrative sanctions, including fines, imprisonment and possible administrative action for suspension or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

The Anti-Kickback Statute is broad, and it prohibits many arrangements and practices that are lawful in businesses outside of the health care industry. Recognizing that the Anti-Kickback Statute is broad and may technically prohibit many innocuous or beneficial arrangements within the health care industry, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services issued regulations in

July of 1991, which the Department has referred to as "safe harbors." These safe harbor regulations set forth certain provisions which, if met in form and substance, will assure health care providers and other parties that they will not be prosecuted under the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. Additional safe harbor provisions providing similar protections have been published intermittently since 1991. Our arrangements with physicians, physician practice groups, hospitals and other persons or entities who are in a position to refer may not fully meet the stringent criteria specified in the various safe harbors. Conduct and business arrangements that do not fully satisfy one of these safe harbor provisions may result in increased scrutiny or enforcement actions by government enforcement authorities such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General.

Many states have adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. Some of these state prohibitions apply to referral of patients for health care services reimbursed by any source, not only federal health care programs. Although we believe that we comply with both federal and state anti-kickback laws, any finding of a violation of these laws could subject us to criminal and civil and administrative penalties or possible administrative action for suspension or exclusion from federal or state health care programs. Such penalties would adversely affect our financial performance and our ability to operate our business.

HIPAA created a new federal statute to prevent health care fraud and false statements relating to health care matters. The health care fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program, including private payers. A violation of this statute is a felony and may result in fines, imprisonment or exclusion from government sponsored programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items or services. A violation of this statute is a felony and may result in fines or imprisonment or administrative action for suspension or exclusion from government sponsored programs. Both federal and state government agencies are continuing heightened and coordinated civil and criminal enforcement efforts. As part of announced enforcement agency work plans, the federal government will continue to scrutinize, among other things, the billing practices of hospitals and other providers of health care services. The federal government also has increased funding to fight health care fraud, and it is coordinating its enforcement efforts among various agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Justice, the Office of Inspector General and state Medicaid fraud control units. We believe that the health care industry will continue to be subject to increased government scrutiny and investigations.

Federal False Claims Act

Another trend affecting the health care industry is the increased use of the federal False Claims Act and, in particular, actions under the False Claims Act's "relator" or "whistleblower" provisions. Those provisions allow a private individual to bring actions on behalf of the government alleging that the defendant has defrauded the federal government. After the individual has initiated the lawsuit, the government must decide whether to intervene in the lawsuit and to become the primary prosecutor. If the government declines to join the lawsuit, then the individual may choose to pursue the case alone, in which case the individual's counsel will have primary control over the prosecution, although the government must be kept apprised of the progress of the lawsuit. Whether or not the federal government intervenes in the case, it will receive the majority of any recovery. If the litigation is successful, the individual is entitled to no less than 15%, but no more than 30%, of whatever amount the government recovers. The percentage of the individual's recovery varies, depending on whether the government intervened in the case and other factors. Recently, the number of suits brought against health care providers by private individuals has increased dramatically. In addition, most states have enacted or are considering enacting laws modeled after the federal False Claims Act. Under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, states are being encouraged to adopt false claims acts similar to the federal False Claims Act, which establish liability for submission of fraudulent claims to the state Medicaid programs and contain whistleblower provisions. Even in instances when a whistleblower action is dismissed with no judgment or settlement, we may incur substantial legal fees and other costs relating to an investigation. Future actions under the False Claims Act may result in significant fines and legal fees, which would adversely affect our financial performance and our ability to operate our business.

Further, the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 expands the types of entities and conduct subject to the False Claims Act. We strive to ensure that we meet applicable regulatory requirements and guidance. However, the costs of defending claims under the False Claims Act, as well as sanctions imposed under the Act, could significantly affect our financial performance.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996

In addition to creating the new federal statutes discussed above, HIPAA also establishes uniform standards governing the conduct of certain electronic health care transactions and protecting the security and privacy of individually identifiable health information maintained or transmitted by health care providers, health plans and health care clearinghouses.

The HITECH Act of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, signed into law on February 17, 2009, dramatically expanded, among other things, (i) the scope of HIPAA to also include "business associates," or independent contractors who receive or obtain protected health information in connection with providing a service to the covered entity, (ii) substantive security and privacy obligations, including new federal security breach notification requirements to affected individuals and

Department of Health and Human Services and potentially media outlets, (iii) restrictions on marketing communications and a prohibition on covered entities or business associates from receiving remuneration in exchange for protected health information and (iv) the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed for HIPAA violations, increasing the annual cap in penalties from \$25,000 to \$1.5 million per year. We believe we are neither a HIPAA-defined "covered entity" nor a "business associate," and therefore are not presently subject to HIPAA's privacy and security standards. It is possible that future changes in our operations or the law could subject us to HIPAA's privacy and security requirements and penalty provisions if we failed to comply. In addition to federal regulations issued under HIPAA. In those cases it may be necessary to modify our operations and procedures to comply with the more stringent state laws, which may entail significant and costly changes for us. We believe that we are in compliance with such state laws and regulations. However, if we fail to comply with applicable state laws and regulations, we could be subject to additional sanctions.

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010

Political, economic and regulatory influences are subjecting the health care industry to potential fundamental changes that could substantially affect our results of operations. Government and private sector initiatives to limit the growth of health care costs, including price regulation, competitive pricing, coverage and payment policies, comparative effectiveness of therapies, technology assessments and alternative payment models, are continuing in many countries where we do business, including the United States. These changes are causing the marketplace to put increased emphasis on the delivery of more cost-effective treatments. Our strategic initiatives include measures to address this trend; however, there can be no assurance that any of our strategic measures will successfully address this trend.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the *"Affordable Care Act"*) were enacted into law in the United States in March 2010. As a U.S. headquartered company that expects significant future sales in the United States once the C-Pulse System is approved for sale, this health care reform law will materially impact us. Certain provisions of the law will not be effective until 2014 and 2015 and there are many programs and requirements for which the details have not yet been fully established or consequences not fully understood. However, on June 28, 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the law's mandate requiring individuals to purchase health insurance but rejected specific provisions that would have penalized states that did not expand their current Medicaid programs. As a result of this ruling and other factors, we expect implementation of most of the major provisions of the law to continue, some of which (e.g., comparative effectiveness research, an independent payment advisory board, and pilot programs to evaluate alternative payment methodologies) could meaningfully change the way health care is developed and delivered, and may adversely affect our business and results of operations. Further, we cannot predict what health care programs and regulations will be ultimately implemented at the federal or state level, or the effect of any future legislation or regulation in the United States or internationally. However, any changes that lower reimbursements, reduce medical procedure volumes or increase cost containment pressures on us or other participants in the health care industry could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Sunshine Act

The Affordable Care Act also includes provisions known as the Physician Payments Sunshine Act, which requires manufacturers of drugs, biologics, devices and medical supplies covered under Medicare and Medicaid starting in 2012 to record any transfers of value to physicians and teaching hospitals. Implementing regulations require us to collect this data beginning in August 2013 for reporting to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services in 2014 for subsequent public disclosure. Manufacturers must also disclose investment interests held by physicians and their family members. Similar reporting requirements have also been enacted on the state level domestically, and an increasing number of countries worldwide either have adopted or are considering similar laws requiring transparency of interactions with health care professionals. In addition, some states such as Massachusetts and Vermont impose an outright ban on certain gifts to physicians. Violations of these laws may result in civil or criminal fines and/or penalties.

Medical Device Tax

Effective January 1, 2013, as a result of the passage of the Affordable Care Act, manufacturers of certain medical devices are subject to an excise tax on the sale of devices. We do not currently believe that we will be subject to these taxes until our C-Pulse System is approved for commercial sale in the United States. The tax is 2.3% of the sale price of the applicable medical device. The manufacturer is responsible for remitting these taxes to the federal government.

International Regulations

We are also subject to regulation in each of the foreign countries where we intend to conduct clinical research and distribute the C-Pulse System. These regulations relate to product standards, packaging and labeling requirements, import restrictions, tariff

regulations, duties, tax requirements, and anti-bribery prohibitions. Many of the regulations applicable to our system in these countries are similar to those of the FDA. The national health or social security organizations of certain countries require our system to be qualified before it can be marketed in those countries.

The primary regulatory environment in Europe is that of the European Union, which consists of 28 member states. The European Union has adopted two directives that cover medical devices—Directive 93/42/EEC covering medical devices and Directive 90/385/EEC for active implantable medical devices—as well as numerous standards that govern and harmonize the national laws and standards regulating the design, manufacture, clinical studies, labeling, adverse event reporting and post-market surveillance activities for medical devices that are marketed in member states. The EU Commission is in the process of revising the Directives and we may face more strenuous requirements in the EU in the future. Medical devices that comply with the requirements of the national law of the member state in which they are first marketed will be entitled to bear CE Marking, indicating that the device conforms to applicable regulatory requirements, and, accordingly, can be commercially marketed within European Union states and other countries that recognize this mark for regulatory purposes. We obtained CE Marking for the C-Pulse System in July 2012.

The regulatory agency in Canada is Health Canada. Medical Devices are governed under the Health Products and Food Branch in the office of the Therapeutic Products Directorate (TPD). The Medical Device regulation is SOR-98-282 which governs clinical trials. We currently have an investigational study ongoing at one clinical site in Canada.

Anti-Corruption/Anti-Bribery Laws

We are subject to the federal Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "*FCPA*") and other countries' anti-corruption/anti-bribery regimes, such as the U.K. Bribery Act. The FCPA prohibits improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Safeguards we implement to discourage improper payments or offers of payments by our employees, consultants, sales agents or distributors may be ineffective, and violations of the FCPA and similar laws may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, or other liabilities or proceedings against us, any of which would likely harm our reputation, business, financial condition and result of operations.

Other Regulations

We are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to such matters as safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices and the use, handling and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances used in connection with our research and development and manufacturing activities. Specifically, the manufacture of our biomaterials is subject to compliance with federal environmental regulations and by various state and local agencies. Although we believe we are in compliance with these laws and regulations in all material respects, we cannot provide assurance that we will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with environmental laws or regulations in the future.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 38 employees, consisting of 36 full-time and two part-time employees. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We consider relations with our employees to be good.

Corporate Information

Sunshine Heart, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware on August 22, 2002. We began operating our business in November 1999 through Sunshine Heart Company Pty Ltd., which currently is a wholly owned Australian subsidiary of Sunshine Heart, Inc. In September of 2004, Chess Depositary Instruments (*"CDIs"*) representing beneficial ownership of our common stock began trading on the Australian Securities Exchange (the *"ASX"*) under the symbol "SHC." Initially, each CDI represented one share of our common stock. In connection with the 1-for-200 reverse stock split we affected on January 27, 2012, we changed this ratio so that each CDI represented 1/200th of a share of our common stock.

On September 30, 2011, we filed a Form 10 registration statement with the SEC, which was declared effective on February 14, 2012. The Form 10 registered our common stock under the Exchange Act. Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market (*"NASDAQ"*) on February 16, 2012.

On February 5, 2013, we received conditional approval from the ASX to delist from the official list of the ASX. The delisting occurred at the close of trading on May 6, 2013.

Our principal executive offices are located at 12988 Valley View Road, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344, and our telephone number is (952) 345-4200. Our website address is *www.sunshineheart.com*. The information on, or that may be accessed through, our website is not incorporated by reference into and should not be considered a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We qualify as an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012 (the "*JOBS Act*"). An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified reduced reporting and other burdens that are otherwise applicable generally to U.S. public companies. These provisions include:

- a requirement to have only two years of audited financial statements and only two years of related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations disclosure; and
- an exemption from the auditor attestation requirement in the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

We may take advantage of these provisions for up to five years or such earlier time that we are no longer an emerging growth company. We will cease to be an emerging growth company if we have more than \$1 billion in annual revenue, have more than \$700 million in market value of our shares of common stock held by non-affiliates, or issue more than \$1 billion of non-convertible debt over a three-year period. We may choose to take advantage of some but not all of these reduced burdens. In addition, the JOBS Act permits emerging growth companies to take advantage of an extended transition period to comply with new or revised accounting standards applicable to U.S. public companies. We have elected to take advantage of the benefits of this extended transition period, and as a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to those of companies that comply with new or revised accounting standards for U.S. public companies.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business faces many risks. We believe the risks described below are the material risks we face. However, the risks described below may not be the only risks we face. Additional unknown risks or risks that we currently consider immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the events or circumstances described below actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could suffer, and the trading price of our shares of common stock could decline significantly. Investors should consider the specific risk factors discussed below, together with the "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information" and the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the other documents that we will file from time to time with the SEC.

Risks Relating to Our Business

We have incurred operating losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur operating losses for the foreseeable future.

We are an early-stage company with a history of incurring net losses. We have incurred net losses since our inception, including net losses of \$21.8 million and \$14.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, our accumulated deficit was \$101.0 million. We do not have any products that have been approved for marketing in the United States, we have not established any sales capability outside of the United States, and we continue to incur research and development and general and administrative expenses related to our operations. We expect to continue to incur significant and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future as we incur costs associated with the conduct of clinical studies, continue our research and development programs, seek regulatory approvals, expand our sales and marketing capabilities, increase manufacturing of our system and comply with the requirements related to being a U.S. public company listed on NASDAQ. To become and remain profitable, we must succeed in developing and commercializing products with significant market potential. This will require us to succeed in a range of challenging activities, including conducting clinical studies, obtaining regulatory approvals, manufacturing products and marketing and selling commercial products. There can be no assurance that we will succeed in these activities, and we may never generate revenues sufficient to achieve profitability. If we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain it.

The report of our independent registered public accounting firm issued in connection with its audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 expresses substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We will need additional funding to continue operations, which may not be available to us on favorable terms or at all.

We have no products currently available for commercial sale in the United States and, although we have CE Mark approval, we have not commenced commercial sales in the European Union. To date, we have generated only limited revenue from our clinical studies. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm issued in connection with its audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 expresses substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We expect to

continue to incur significant and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future as we incur costs associated with the conduct of clinical studies, continue our research and development programs, seek regulatory approvals, expand our sales and marketing capabilities, increase manufacturing of our system and comply with the requirements related to being a U.S. public company listed on NASDAQ. Substantial additional funding will be needed and may not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. In addition, the risk that we may not be able to continue as a going concern may make it more difficult to obtain necessary additional funding on terms favorable to us, or at all. If we raise additional funding through the issuance of equity securities, our stockholders may suffer dilution and our ability to use our net operating losses to offset future income may be limited. If we raise additional funding through debt financing, we may be required to accept terms that restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness, require us to use our cash to make payments under such indebtedness, force us to maintain specified liquidity or other ratios or restrict our ability to pay dividends or make acquisitions. If we are unable to secure additional funding, our development programs and our commercialization efforts would be delayed, reduced or eliminated, our relationships with our suppliers and manufacturers may be harmed, and we may not be able to continue our operations.

Our near-term prospects are highly dependent on the development of a single product, our C-Pulse System. If we fail to obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to sell the C-Pulse System or fail to successfully commercialize this system, our business and prospects would be harmed significantly.

Our near-term prospects are highly dependent on the development of a single product, our C-Pulse System, and we have no other product candidates in active development at this time. We are in the process of pursuing regulatory approvals necessary to sell our system in the United States, which we believe has the largest market potential for our product. We completed enrollment of our North American feasibility clinical study in the first half of 2011. In November 2011, we announced the preliminary results of the sixmonth follow-up period for the feasibility study and we submitted the clinical data to the FDA. In March 2012, the FDA notified us that it completed its review of the C-Pulse System feasibility study data, concluded we met the applicable agency requirements, and indicated that we can move forward with an IDE application. In November 2012, the FDA provided us with unconditional approval to initiate a pivotal study. Enrollment of the pivotal study began during September 2013. We currently expect to complete enrollment of our pivotal study in 2016 and do not anticipate marketing our system in the United States before 2017.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to sell our system. In addition, even if we obtain such regulatory approvals, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully commercialize our system. If we fail to obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to sell our system or fail to successfully commercialize our system, our business and prospects would be harmed significantly.

We currently have limited sales, marketing or established distribution operations and will need to expand our expertise in these areas.

We currently have limited sales, marketing or established distribution operations and, in connection with the expected commercialization of our system, will need to expand our expertise in these areas. To increase internal sales, distribution and marketing expertise and be able to conduct these operations, we would have to invest significant amounts of financial and management resources. In developing these functions ourselves, we could face a number of risks, including:

- we may not be able to attract and build an effective marketing or sales force;
- the cost of establishing, training and providing regulatory oversight for a marketing or sales force may be substantial; and
- there are significant legal and regulatory risks in medical device marketing and sales that we have never faced, and any failure to comply with applicable legal and regulatory requirements for sales, marketing and distribution could result in an enforcement action by the FDA, European regulators or other authorities that could jeopardize our ability to market the system or could subject us to substantial liability.

We plan to commercialize our system outside of the United States, which will expose us to risks associated with international operations.

We plan to commercialize our system outside of the United States and have commenced a post-market clinical study in certain European countries in addition to the United States. Conducting international operations subjects us to risks, including:

- costs of complying with varying regulatory requirements and potential, unexpected changes to those requirements;
- fluctuations in and management of currency exchange rates;

- difficulties in selling in countries where other companies and their products may be more established, have greater brand recognition and a history of selling multiple product lines to our target customers;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, including the complexities of foreign value added tax systems and restrictions on the repatriation of earnings;
- government-imposed pricing controls on sales of our system;
- longer payment cycles and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
- difficulties in managing and staffing international operations;
- the burdens of complying with a wide variety of non-U.S. laws and legal standards;
- increased financial accounting and reporting burdens and complexities; and
- reduced or varied protection for intellectual property rights in some countries.

The occurrence of any one of these risks could negatively affect our international operations. Additionally, operating in international markets also requires significant management attention and financial resources. We cannot be certain that our operations in other countries will produce desired levels of revenues or profitability.

We depend on a limited number of manufacturers and suppliers of various critical components for our C-Pulse System. The loss of any of these manufacturer or supplier relationships could delay future clinical studies or prevent or delay commercialization of our C-Pulse System.

We rely on third parties to manufacture our C-Pulse System and to supply us with all of the critical components of our C-Pulse System, including the balloon, driver, cuff and interface lead. We primarily purchase our components and products on a purchase order basis and do not "second source" any components of our system. If one or more of the suppliers of the components used in our system were unable or unwilling to meet our demand for such components or faced financial or business difficulties in general, or if the components or finished products provided by any of our suppliers do not meet quality and other specifications, clinical studies or commercialization of our system could be delayed and our expenses could increase. Moreover, if any of the suppliers were unable or unwilling to perform, we would be required to find alternative sources for the components provided by such supplier, and there can be no assurance that we would be able to find a replacement supplier on a timely basis, or at all. In particular, the balloon used in our system is highly specialized and is currently solely available from a single supplier. If the manufacturer of the balloon were unable or unwilling to supply this component for any reason, we would have to locate and qualify another supplier and such supplier and its balloon product would have to be qualified under FDA and European regulations and would require FDA and European submissions, such as IDE Supplements, PMA Supplements and change notifications. Since there is currently no other supplier in the industry, locating and qualifying another supplier could cause significant production delays, causing us to lose revenues and market share and to potentially suffer increased costs and damage to our reputation. Additionally, even if we are able to find a replacement supplier of any of the components used in our system, we may face additional regulatory delays, and the manufacture and delivery of our C-Pulse System could be interrupted for an extended period of time and become significantly more expensive. This could delay completion of future clinical studies or commercialization of our C-Pulse System and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we may be required to use different suppliers or components to obtain regulatory approval from the FDA or other regulatory agencies.

If our manufacturers or our suppliers are unable to provide an adequate supply of our system following the start of commercialization, our growth could be limited and our business could be harmed.

In order to produce our C-Pulse System in the quantities that we anticipate will be required to meet market demand, we will need our manufacturers to increase, or scale-up, the production process by a significant factor over the current level of production. There are technical challenges to scaling-up manufacturing capacity and developing commercial-scale manufacturing facilities that may require the investment of substantial additional funds by our manufacturers and hiring and retaining additional management and technical personnel who have the necessary manufacturing experience. If our manufacturers are unable to do so, we may not be able to meet the requirements for the launch of the system or to meet future demand, if at all. We also may represent only a small portion of our supplier's or manufacturer's business, and if they become capacity constrained they may choose to allocate their available resources to other customers that represent a larger portion of their businesses. We currently anticipate that we will continue to rely on

third-party manufacturers and suppliers for the production of our C-Pulse System following commercialization. If we develop and obtain regulatory approval for our system and are unable to obtain a sufficient supply of our system, our revenue, business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects would be harmed.

If we are unable to manage our expected growth, we may not be able to commercialize our system.

We have expanded, and expect to continue to expand, our operations and grow our research and development, product development, regulatory, manufacturing, sales, marketing and administrative operations. This expansion has placed, and is expected to continue to place, a significant strain on our management and operational and financial resources. To manage any further growth and to commercialize our system, we will be required to improve existing and implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls and expand, train and manage our growing employee base. In addition, we will need to manage relationships with various manufacturers, suppliers and other organizations. Our ability to manage our operations and growth will require us to improve our operational, financial and management controls, as well as our internal reporting systems and controls, all of which will involve significant expense. We may not be able to implement such improvements to our management information and internal control systems in an efficient and timely manner and may discover deficiencies in existing systems and controls. Our failure to accomplish any of these tasks could materially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may not be able to correctly estimate or control our future operating expenses, which could lead to cash shortfalls.

Our operating expenses may fluctuate significantly in the future as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. These factors include:

- the time and resources required to develop, conduct clinical studies, obtain reimbursement and regulatory approvals for the products we develop;
- the expenses we incur for the research and development required to maintain and improve our system;
- the costs of preparing, filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing patent claims and other patent related costs, including litigation costs and the results of such litigation;
- the expenses we incur in connection with commercialization activities, including marketing, sales and distribution;
- our sales strategy and whether the revenues from sales of our system will be sufficient to offset our expenses;
- the costs to attract and retain personnel with the skills required for effective operations; and
- the costs associated with being a public company.

Our budgeted expense levels are based in part on our expectations concerning our ability to receive additional financing, as well as future revenues from sales of our C-Pulse System. We may be unable to reduce our expenditures in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected shortfall in financing or revenue. Accordingly, a significant shortfall in demand for our system or available financing could have an immediate and material impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We compete against many companies, some of which have longer operating histories, more established products and greater resources than we do, which may prevent us from achieving further market penetration or improving operating results.

Competition from medical device companies and medical device divisions of health care companies, as well as pharmaceutical companies and gene- and cell-based therapies is intense and is expected to increase. Our system will compete against therapies, including pharmacological therapies, as well as other medical device competitors that treat or may treat in the future Class III or ambulatory Class IV heart failure patients, including AbioMed, Inc., Berlin Heart GmbH, CardioKinetix, Inc., HeartWare International Inc., Jarvik Heart, Inc., MicroMed Technology, Inc., SynCardia Systems, Inc., Terumo Heart, Inc. and Thoratec Corporation, as well as a range of other specialized medical device companies with devices at varying stages of development. Some of these competitors have significantly greater financial and human resources than we do and have established reputations, as well as worldwide distribution channels and sales and marketing capabilities that are significantly larger and more established than ours. Additional competitors may enter the market, and we are likely to compete with new companies in the future. We also face competition from other medical therapies which may focus on our target market as well as competition from manufacturers of pharmaceutical and other devices that have not yet been developed. Competition from these companies could harm our business. In addition, because our system has been implanted in a limited number of patients to date, all of the material risks and potential competitive disadvantages of our system are not necessarily known at this time.

Our ability to compete effectively depends upon our ability to distinguish our Company and our system from our competitors and their products. Factors affecting our competitive position include:

- financial resources;
- product performance and design;
- product safety;
- acceptance of our system in the marketplace;
- sales, marketing and distribution capabilities;
- manufacturing and assembly costs;
- pricing of our system and of our competitors' products;
- the availability of reimbursement from government and private health insurers;
- success and timing of new product development and introductions;
- regulatory approvals in the United States; and
- intellectual property protection.

The competition for qualified personnel is particularly intense in our industry. If we are unable to retain or hire key personnel, we may not be able to sustain or grow our business.

Our ability to operate successfully and manage our potential future growth depends significantly upon our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled and qualified research, technical, clinical, regulatory, sales, marketing, managerial and financial personnel. We face intense competition for such personnel, and we may not be able to attract, retain and motivate these individuals. We compete for talent with numerous companies, as well as universities and nonprofit research organizations. Our future success also depends on the personal efforts and abilities of the principal members of our senior management and scientific staff to provide strategic direction, manage our operations and maintain a cohesive and stable environment. We do not maintain key man life insurance on the lives of any of the members of our senior management. The loss of key personnel for any reason or our inability to hire, retain and motivate additional qualified personnel in the future could prevent us from sustaining or growing our business.

Product defects could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The design, manufacture and marketing of medical devices involve certain inherent risks. Manufacturing or design defects, unanticipated use of a product or inadequate disclosure of risks relating to the use of the product can lead to injury or other adverse events. These events could lead to recalls or safety alerts relating to a product (either voluntary or required by the FDA or similar governmental authorities in other countries), and could result, in certain cases, in the removal of a product from the market. Any recall of our system could result in significant costs, as well as negative publicity and damage to our reputation that could reduce demand for our system. Personal injuries relating to the use of our system could also result in product liability claims being brought against us. In some circumstances, such adverse events could also cause delays in new product approvals. Any one of these factors could substantially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may be sued for product liability, which could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The design, manufacture and marketing of medical devices carries a significant risk of product liability claims. Our system treats Class III and ambulatory Class IV heart failure for patients who typically have serious medical issues. As a result, our exposure to product liability claims may be heightened because the people who use our system have a high risk of suffering adverse outcomes, regardless of the safety or efficacy of our system. In addition, because our system has been implanted in a limited number of patients to date, we cannot assure you that we are currently aware of all material risks related to use of our system or that could lead to product liability claims against us.

We may be held liable if any product we develop and commercialize causes injury or is found otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing, sale or consumer use. The safety studies we must perform and the regulatory approvals required to commercialize our system will not protect us from any such liability. We carry product liability insurance with a \$10 million aggregate limit. However, if there are product liability claims against us, our insurance may be insufficient to cover the expense of defending against such claims, or may be insufficient to pay or settle such claims. Furthermore, we may be unable to obtain adequate product liability insurance coverage for commercial sales of any of our approved products. If such insurance is insufficient to protect us, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be harmed. If any product liability claim is made against us, our reputation and future sales will be damaged, even if we have adequate insurance coverage. Even if a product liability claim against us is without merit or if we are not found liable for any damages, a product liability claim could result in decreased demand for our system, injury to our reputation, diversion of management's attention from operating our business, withdrawal of clinical study participants, significant costs of related litigation, loss of revenue or the inability to commercialize the C-Pulse System.

Risks Relating to Regulation

We do not have FDA approval for our system and our success will depend heavily on the success of our pivotal studies for our C-Pulse System. Any failure or significant delay in successfully completing our pivotal study or obtaining regulatory approvals could harm our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects and require us to seek additional funding.

Upon completion of the six-month follow-up period for our feasibility study, we submitted the study's clinical data to the FDA in November 2011. In November 2012, the FDA provided us with unconditional approval to initiate a pivotal study. Enrollment of our pivotal study began during September 2013. Completion of the pivotal study could be delayed, and adverse events during the study could cause us to modify the existing design, repeat or terminate the study. If the study is delayed, if it must be repeated or if it is terminated, our costs associated with the study will increase, and it will take us longer to obtain regulatory approvals and commercialize the C-Pulse System, if we are able to do so at all. Our pivotal study also may be suspended or terminated at any time by regulatory authorities or by us. FDA scrutiny of IDE applications has intensified in recent years, increasing the risk of delay or failure.

If we complete our pivotal clinical study, we must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the C-Pulse System by meeting the study's endpoints before we can commercialize the C-Pulse System in the United States. Our inability to achieve the safety or efficacy endpoints in the pivotal study could delay our timeline for obtaining regulatory approval to commercialize our system or prevent us from obtaining such regulatory approval altogether.

In addition to successfully completing our U.S. pivotal study, we will need to receive approval from regulatory agencies in each country outside the European Union in which we seek to sell our system. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval varies from country to country and approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another. In addition, a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others. We cannot assure you when, or if, we will be able to commence sales in any jurisdiction within or outside the United States.

If we are unable to complete our pivotal study, or experience significant delays in the study, or if the results of the study do not meet its safety and efficacy endpoints, our ability to obtain regulatory approval to commercialize our system and to generate revenues will be significantly harmed.

Even if we obtain foreign regulatory approvals, we will need to obtain FDA approval to commercialize our system in the United States.

Even if we obtain foreign regulatory approvals, we will need to obtain FDA approval to commercialize our system in the United States, which will require us to conduct clinical studies in the United States and to complete those studies successfully. If we fail to obtain approval from the FDA, we will not be able to market and sell our system in the United States, which we believe is the largest potential market for our C-Pulse System. We do not currently have the necessary regulatory approvals to commercialize our C-Pulse System in the United States. We can offer no assurance that our clinical studies will be successful or that we will ever obtain FDA approval of the C-Pulse System or any future products.

In order to obtain FDA approval for our C-Pulse System, we will be required to receive a PMA from the FDA. A PMA must be supported by data from pre-clinical and clinical studies to demonstrate safety and efficacy. A clinical study will be required to support an application for a PMA, and we received FDA approval of our IDE application in November 2012 that will allow us to commence a clinical study in the United States. Enrollment in our U.S. pivotal study began in September 2013, but there can be no

assurance that our U.S. pivotal study will be completed on schedule or at all. Even if completed, we do not know if this study will meet its objectives or end-points to show the safety and efficacy of our system so as to support an application for a PMA.

The process of obtaining a PMA from the FDA for our C-Pulse System, or any future products or enhancements or modifications to any products, could:

- take a significant period of time;
- require the expenditure of substantial resources;
- involve rigorous pre-clinical and clinical testing;
- require changes to the product;
- require submissions to the FDA, such as IDE or PMA Supplements; and
- result in failure to support approval of the product or limitations on the indicated uses of the product.

Increased attention to safety and oversight issues in light of recent, widely publicized events concerning the safety of certain food, drug and medical device products could cause the FDA to take a more cautious approach in connection with approvals for devices such as ours, which could delay or prevent FDA approval of our C-Pulse System.

There can be no assurance that we will receive the required approvals from the FDA or, if we do receive the required approvals, that we will receive them on a timely basis. The failure to receive product approval by the FDA would significantly harm our business, results of operations or financial condition.

We may be unable to complete our U.S. pivotal study for the C-Pulse System or other clinical studies, which could prevent or delay regulatory approval of the C-Pulse System and impair our financial position.

Our U.S. pivotal study commenced during September 2013. The study has been designed to be a randomized study that includes approximately 388 patients and is expected to involve approximately 40 sites. Conducting a clinical study of this size is a complex and uncertain process.

Completion of enrollment of our study could be delayed for a variety of reasons, including:

- reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective clinical study sites;
- manufacturing sufficient quantities of our C-Pulse System;
- obtaining institutional review board approval to conduct the study at a prospective site; and
- obtaining sufficient patient enrollment, which is a function of many factors, including the size of the patient population, the nature of the protocol, the proximity of patients to clinical sites and the eligibility criteria for the study.

In addition, the completion of the study and our other ongoing clinical studies could be delayed, suspended or terminated for several reasons, including:

- ongoing discussions with regulatory authorities regarding the scope or design of our pre-clinical results or clinical study or requests for supplemental information with respect to our pre-clinical results or clinical study results;
- our or our clinical sites' failure or inability to conduct the clinical studies in accordance with regulatory requirements;
- sites currently participating in the study may drop out of the study, which may require us to engage new sites or petition the FDA for an expansion of the number of sites that are permitted to be involved in the study;
- patients may not achieve the required clinical end-points of the study;
- patients may not remain in or complete clinical studies at the rates we expect;

- patients may experience serious adverse events or side effects during the study, which, whether or not related to our system, could cause the FDA or other regulatory authorities to place the clinical study on hold; and
- clinical investigators may not perform clinical studies on our anticipated schedule or consistent with the clinical study protocol and good clinical practice requirements.

If our pivotal study is delayed, it will take us longer to ultimately commercialize a product or result in our being unable to do so. Our development costs will also increase if we have material delays in our pivotal study or if we need to perform more or larger clinical studies than planned. Moreover, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully complete, or achieve the desired clinical end-points from, our pivotal study at all, which could prevent us from receiving regulatory approval for the C-Pulse System altogether. Any of the foregoing could harm our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects and cause us to seek additional funding.

If we fail to obtain an adequate level of reimbursement for our system by third-party payers, there may be no commercially viable markets for our system or the markets may be much smaller than expected.

The availability and levels of reimbursement by governmental and other third-party payers significantly affect the market for our system. Reimbursement by third-party payers in the United States typically is based on the device's perceived benefit and whether it is deemed medically reasonable and necessary. Reimbursement levels of third-party payers in the United States are also based on established payment formulas that take into account part or all of the cost associated with these devices and the related procedures performed. We cannot assure you the level of reimbursement we might obtain in the United States, if any, for our system. If we do not obtain adequate levels of reimbursement for our system by third-party payers in the United States, which we believe is the largest potential market for our system, our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects would be harmed.

Reimbursement and health care payment systems in international markets vary significantly by country, and include both government-sponsored health care and private insurance. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to produce additional clinical data, which may involve one or more additional clinical studies, that compares the cost-effectiveness of our system to other available therapies. We may not obtain international reimbursement or pricing approvals in a timely manner, if at all. We do not currently plan to commercialize the C-Pulse System in any country unless the product is approved for reimbursement. Our failure to receive international reimbursement or pricing approvals would significantly harm our operations, financial condition and prospects.

We believe that future reimbursement may be subject to increased restrictions both in the United States and in international markets. Future legislation, regulation or reimbursement policies of third-party payers may adversely affect the demand for the C-Pulse System and limit our ability to sell the C-Pulse System or any future products on a profitable basis. In addition, third-party payers continually attempt to contain or reduce the costs of health care by challenging the prices charged for health care products and services. If reimbursement for our system is unavailable in any market or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, market acceptance of our system would be significantly impaired and our future revenues, if any, would be significantly harmed.

We depend on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical studies, and on other third parties to manage the studies and to perform related data collection and analysis, and, as a result, we may face costs and delays that are outside of our control.

We have and plan to continue to rely on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical studies, including our U.S. pivotal study, and other third parties to manage the related data collection and analysis. While we are obligated by regulation to monitor the sites for compliance, we have limited oversight over the clinical investigators and sites and cannot control the amount and timing of resources that clinical sites may devote to our clinical studies. If these clinical investigators and clinical sites fail to enroll a sufficient number of patients in our clinical studies, to ensure compliance by patients with clinical protocols or to comply with regulatory requirements, we will be unable to complete these studies, which could prevent us from obtaining regulatory approvals for our system. Our agreements with clinical investigators and clinical studies could be delayed or terminated. If sites fail to meet FDA requirements in conducting the studies, we can be held responsible. If these clinical investigators, clinical sites or other third parties do not carry out their contractual duties or obligations or fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical studies may be extended, delayed or terminated, or the clinical data may be rejected by the FDA, our costs will increase and we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize, our system.

Our manufacturers and suppliers might not meet regulatory quality standards applicable to manufacturing and quality processes, which could harm our financial results and prospects.

Even if our system receives marketing approval, product approvals by the FDA can be withdrawn due to failure to comply with regulatory standards. We rely on third parties to manufacture our C-Pulse System. We are required to demonstrate and maintain compliance with the applicable QSR by controlling our suppliers and requiring that they manufacture in conformance with the QSR. A contractor that manufactures a completed device for us is directly subject to the QSR but we also are held responsible by the FDA. A contractor that manufactures a component is not subject to the OSR. In those cases we are responsible to the FDA for requiring by contract that the component meet QSR standards. The QSR is a complex regulatory scheme that covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, control, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our system. The FDA enforces the QSR through periodic unannounced inspections. Compliance with applicable regulatory requirements is subject to continual review and is rigorously monitored through periodic inspections by the FDA. A failure by our manufacturers to comply with the QSR or to take satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse QSR inspection could cause a significant delay in our ability to have our system manufactured and to complete our clinical studies and could significantly increase our costs, which would harm our financial results and our prospects. In addition, suppliers of components of, and products used to manufacture, our system must also comply with FDA and foreign regulatory requirements, which often require significant time, money and recordkeeping and quality assurance efforts and subject us and our suppliers to potential regulatory inspections and stoppages. We are also subject to the international standard ISO 13485 in other jurisdictions. Like the QSR, ISO 13485 holds us responsible under the Purchasing Controls section for obtaining compliance with the standard by all of our suppliers.

We plan to operate in multiple regulatory environments that require costly and time consuming approvals.

Even if we obtain regulatory approvals to commercialize the C-Pulse System or any other product that we may develop in one jurisdiction, sales of our system in other jurisdictions will be subject to regulatory requirements that vary from country to country. The time and cost required to obtain approvals from these countries may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval and may otherwise differ from those of the FDA. Laws and regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of our system are subject to future changes, as are administrative interpretations and policies of regulatory agencies. If we fail to comply with applicable foreign, federal, state or local market laws or regulations or administrative interpretations and policies of regulatory agencies, we could be precluded from commercializing our system in those countries and could become subject to enforcement actions. Enforcement actions could include product seizures, recalls, withdrawal of clearances or approvals and civil and criminal penalties, which in each case would harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The C-Pulse System may never achieve market acceptance even if we obtain regulatory approvals.

Even if we obtain regulatory approvals to commercialize the C-Pulse System or any other product that we may develop, our products may not gain market acceptance among physicians, patients, third-party health care payers or the medical community. The degree of market acceptance of any of the devices that we may develop will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the perceived effectiveness and price of the product;
- the prevalence and severity of any side effects;
- potential advantages over alternative treatments;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support; and
- sufficient third-party coverage or reimbursement.

If our C-Pulse System, or any other product that we may develop, is approved but does not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party health care payers and the medical community, we may not generate product revenue and we may not become profitable or be able to sustain profitability.

We may be subject, directly or indirectly, to U.S. federal and state health care fraud and abuse and false claims laws and regulations. Prosecutions under such laws have increased in recent years and we may become subject to such litigation. If we are unable to, or have not fully complied with such laws, we could face substantial penalties.

If we are successful in achieving regulatory approval to market our C-Pulse System, our operations will be directly, or indirectly through our customers and health care professionals, subject to various U.S. federal and state fraud and abuse laws, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, federal False Claims Act, and the FCPA. These laws may impact, among other things, our proposed sales, and marketing and education programs.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal health care program such as Medicare and Medicaid. Several courts have interpreted the statute's intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals of federal health care covered business, the statute has been violated. The Anti-Kickback Statute is broad and, despite a series of narrow safe harbors, prohibits many arrangements and practices that are lawful in businesses outside of the health care industry. Penalties for violations of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute include criminal penalties and civil and administrative sanctions such as fines, imprisonment and possible exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal health care programs. An alleged violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute may be used as a predicate offense to establish liability pursuant to other federal laws and regulations such as the federal False Claims Act. Many states have also adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, some of which apply to the referral of patients for health care items or services reimbursed by any source, not only the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits persons from knowingly filing, or causing to be filed, a false claim to, or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from, the federal government. Suits filed under the False Claims Act, known as "*qui tam*" actions, can be brought by any individual on behalf of the government and such individuals, commonly known as "relators" or "whistleblowers," may share in any amounts paid by the entity to the government in fines or settlement. The frequency of filing qui tam actions has increased significantly in recent years, causing greater numbers of medical device, pharmaceutical and health care companies to have to defend a False Claim Act action. The Affordable Care Act includes provisions expanding the ability of certain relators to bring actions that would have been previously dismissed under prior law. When an entity is determined to have violated the federal False Claims Act, it may be required to pay up to three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus civil penalties for each separate false claim. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 encouraged states to enact or modify their state false claims act to be at least as effective as the federal False Claims Act by granting states a portion of any federal Medicaid funds recovered through Medicaid-related actions. Most states have enacted state false claims laws, and many of those states included laws with *qui tam* provisions. States had until March 31, 2013 to enact or amend their false claims laws modeled after the federal False Claims Act for review and approval to receive a greater portion of any recovery.

The Affordable Care Act includes provisions known as the Physician Payments Sunshine Act, which requires manufacturers of drugs, biologics, devices and medical supplies covered under Medicare and Medicaid starting in 2012 to record any transfers of value to physicians and teaching hospitals beginning in August 2013 and to report to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services starting in 2014 for subsequent public disclosure. Manufacturers must also disclose investment interests held by physicians and their family members. Failure to submit the required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to \$1 million per year for knowing violations and may result in liability under other federal laws or regulations. Similar reporting requirements have also been enacted on the state level in the United States, and an increasing number of countries worldwide either have adopted or are considering similar laws requiring transparency of interactions with health care professionals. In addition, some states such as Massachusetts and Vermont impose an outright ban on certain gifts to physicians. If we receive FDA clearance to market our system in the United States, these laws could affect our promotional activities by limiting the kinds of interactions we could have with hospitals, physicians or other potential purchasers or users of our system. Both the disclosure laws and gift bans will impose administrative, cost and compliance burdens on us.

We are unable to predict whether we could be subject to actions under any of these laws, or the impact of such actions. If we are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above and other applicable state and federal fraud and abuse laws, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, or an administrative action of suspension or exclusion from government health care reimbursement programs and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations.

In addition, we are subject to the FCPA and other countries' anti-corruption/anti-bribery regimes, such as the U.K. Bribery Act. The FCPA prohibits improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Safeguards we implement to discourage improper payments or offers of payments by our employees, consultants, sales agents or distributors may be ineffective, and violations of the FCPA and similar laws may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, or other liabilities or proceedings against us, any of which would likely harm our reputation, business, results of operations and financial condition.

The expanded regulations under the HITECH Act have increased the possibility that device manufactures might be considered business associates in the future, exposing us to penalties for potential breaches of HIPAA Security Regulation.

Risks Relating to our Intellectual Property

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights effectively, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain protection in the United States and other countries of the intellectual property relating to or incorporated into our technology and system. As of December 31, 2013, we owned 16 issued patents in the United States and seven patent applications in the United States, as well as 49 issued patents and 20 patent applications in foreign jurisdictions. We estimate that most of our currently issued U.S. patents will expire between approximately 2020 and 2024. Our pending and future patent applications may not issue as patents or, if issued, may not issue in a form that will provide us any competitive advantage. Even if issued, existing or future patents may be challenged, narrowed, invalidated or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing similar products or limit the length of terms of patent protection we may have for our system. Changes in patent laws or their interpretation in the United States and other countries could also diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. In addition, the legal systems of certain countries do not favor the aggressive enforcement of patents, and the laws of foreign countries may not protect our rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. As a result, our patent portfolio may not provide us with sufficient rights to exclude others from commercializing products similar or identical to ours. In order to preserve and enforce our patent and other intellectual property rights, we may need to make claims or file lawsuits against third parties. This can entail significant costs to us and divert our management's attention from developing and commercializing our system.

Intellectual property litigation could be costly and disruptive to us.

In recent years, there has been significant litigation involving medical device patents and other intellectual property rights. From time to time, third parties may assert patent, copyright, trademark and other intellectual property rights to technologies used in our business. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel or require us to pay substantial damages. If we are unsuccessful in defending ourselves against these types of claims, we may be required to do one or more of the following:

- stop clinical studies or delay or abandon commercialization of our system;
- attempt to obtain a license to sell or use the relevant technology or substitute technology, which license may not be available on reasonable terms or at all; or
- redesign our system.

In the event a claim against us were successful and we could not obtain a license to the relevant technology on acceptable terms or license a substitute technology or redesign our system to avoid infringement, our business, results of operations and financial condition would be significantly harmed.

If we were unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, the value of our technology and system could be adversely affected.

In addition to patented technology, we rely on our unpatented proprietary technology, trade secrets, processes and know-how. We generally seek to protect this information by confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, scientific advisors and third parties. These agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. In addition, our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently developed by competitors. To the extent that our employees, consultants or contractors use intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know-how and inventions.

Our system could infringe patent rights of others, which may require costly litigation and, if we are not successful, could cause us to pay substantial damages or limit our ability to commercialize our system.

Our commercial success depends on our ability to develop, manufacture and market our system and technology without infringing the patents and other proprietary rights of third parties. As our industry expands and more patents are issued, the risk increases that there may be patents issued to third parties that relate to our system and technologies of which we are not aware or that we must challenge to continue our operations as currently contemplated. Our system may infringe or may be alleged to infringe these patents.

In addition, some patent applications in the United States may be maintained in secrecy until the patents are issued because patent applications in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, and because publications in the scientific literature often lag behind actual discoveries, we cannot be certain that others have not filed patent applications for technology covered by our issued patents or our pending applications or that we were the first to invent the technology. Another party may have filed, and may in the future file, patent applications covering our system or technology similar to ours. Any such patent application may have priority over our patent applications or patents, which could further require us to obtain rights to issued patents covering such technologies. If another party has filed a U.S. patent application on inventions similar to ours, we may have to participate in an interference proceeding declared by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to determine priority of invention in the United States. The costs of these proceedings could be substantial, and it is possible that such efforts would be unsuccessful if the other party had independently arrived at the same or similar invention prior to our own invention, resulting in a loss of our U.S. patent position with respect to such inventions.

We may be subject to claims that our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

As is common in our industry, we employ individuals who were previously employed at other medical device companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees, or we, have used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Security breaches, loss of data and other disruptions could compromise sensitive information related to our business or prevent us from accessing critical information and expose us to liability, which could adversely affect our business and our reputation.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including legally protected health information, personally identifiable information, intellectual property and proprietary business information owned or controlled by ourselves or others. We manage and maintain our applications and data utilizing on-site systems. These applications and data encompass a wide variety of business-critical information including research and development information, commercial information, and business and financial information. We face four primary risks relative to protecting this critical information, including: loss of access risk; inappropriate disclosure risk; inappropriate modification risk; and the risk of our being unable to adequately monitor our controls over the first three risks.

The secure processing, storage, maintenance, and transmission of this critical information is vital to our operations and business strategy. Although we take measures to protect sensitive information from unauthorized access or disclosure, our information technology and infrastructure, may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or viruses or breached due to employee error, malfeasance, or other disruptions. Any such breach or interruption could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed by unauthorized parties, publicly disclosed, lost, or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, such as HIPAA, and regulatory penalties. Although we believe we have implemented adequate security measures, there is no guarantee we can continue to protect our systems and data from unauthorized access, loss or dissemination that could also disrupt our operations, including our ability to conduct our analyses, bill payers or patients, conduct research and development activities, collect, process, and prepare company financial information, provide information about our products and other patient and physician education and outreach efforts through our website, manage the administrative aspects of our business, and damage our reputation, any of which could adversely affect our business.

In addition, the interpretation and application of consumer, health-related, and data protection laws in the United States, Europe and elsewhere are often uncertain, contradictory, and in flux. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent with our practices. If so, this could result in government-imposed fines or orders requiring that we change our practices, which could adversely affect our business. In addition, these privacy regulations may differ from country to country, and may vary based on whether testing is performed in the United States or in the local country. Complying with these various laws could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices and compliance procedures in a manner adverse to our business.

Risks Related to Ownership of our Common Stock

An active trading market for our shares of common stock in the United States may not develop.

Our common stock has been listed for trading on NASDAQ only since February 16, 2012 and has experienced limited trading volume. The average daily trading volume in our common stock on NASDAQ for the three-month period ended December 31, 2013 was approximately 269,000 shares. There can be no assurance that an active public market for our shares will continue to develop in the United States. If an active trading market does not continue to develop in the United States, the market price and liquidity of our common stock would be adversely affected.

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

Our common stock has traded on NASDAQ since February 16, 2012, and CDIs representing beneficial ownership of our common stock traded on the ASX from September 2004 until May 6, 2013. The price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile, which means that it could decline substantially within a short period of time. For example, the price per share of our common stock traded on NASDAQ ranged from \$2.50 to \$22.90 from February 16, 2012 to June 30, 2012, from \$2.75 to \$17.25 from July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, from \$4.85 to \$8.13 from January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013, and from \$5.34 to \$13.80 from July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. The price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly for many reasons, including the following:

- future announcements concerning us or our competitors;
- regulatory developments, disclosure regarding completed, ongoing or future clinical studies and enforcement actions bearing on advertising, marketing or sales;
- reports and recommendations of analysts and whether or not we meet the milestones and metrics set forth in such reports;
- introduction of new products;
- acquisition or loss of significant manufacturers, distributors or suppliers or an inability to obtain sufficient quantities of materials needed to manufacture our system;
- quarterly variations in operating results, which we have experienced in the past and expect to experience in the future;
- business acquisitions or divestitures;
- changes in governmental or third-party reimbursement practices;
- fluctuations of investor interest in the medical device sector; and
- fluctuations in the economy, world political events or general market conditions.

In addition, stock markets in general, and the market for shares of health care stocks in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations in recent years, fluctuations that frequently have been unrelated to the operating performance of the affected companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. The market price of our common stock could decline below its current price and the market price of our shares may fluctuate significantly in the future. These fluctuations may be unrelated to our performance.

Our directors and executive officers hold substantial control over us and could limit the ability of our common stockholders to influence the outcome of key transactions, including changes of control.

As of December 31, 2013, our executive officers and directors and entities affiliated with them beneficially owned, in the aggregate (including options or warrants exercisable currently or within 60 days of December 31, 2013), approximately 28.0% of our outstanding common stock. Our executive officers, directors and affiliated entities, if acting together, would be able to control all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers, financings or other significant corporate transactions. The concentration of ownership of our common stock may delay, prevent or deter a change of control of our Company, could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of our Company and may affect the market price of our common stock. This significant concentration of stock ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock due to investors' perception that conflicts of interest may exist or arise.

Our ability to use U.S. net operating loss carryforwards or Australian tax losses might be limited.

As of December 31, 2013, we had U.S. net operating loss ("*NOL*") carryforwards of approximately \$45.7 million for U.S. income tax purposes, which expire from 2022 through 2033. To the extent these NOL carryforwards are available, we intend to use them to reduce any corporate income tax liability associated with our operations that we might have in the future. Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "*Internal Revenue Code*"), generally imposes an annual limitation on the amount of NOL carryforwards that might be used to offset taxable income when a corporation has undergone significant changes in

stock ownership. As a result, prior or future changes in ownership could put limitations on the availability of our NOL carryforwards. In addition, our ability to utilize the current NOL carryforwards might be further limited by future issuances of our common stock.

As of December 31, 2013, we had tax losses in the Commonwealth of Australia of approximately \$50.5 million. Continuing utilization of carryforward tax losses in Australia may also be affected by the issuance of our common stock in the future. This is because one test for carrying forward tax losses in Australia from year to year requires continuity of ultimate ownership (subject to the relevant tests in Australian tax law) of more than 50% between the loss year and the income year in which the loss is claimed.

To the extent our use of our NOL carryforwards or tax losses is limited, our income could be subject to corporate income tax earlier than it would if we were able to use NOL carryforwards and tax losses, which could result in lower profits.

We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock, and we currently do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain any earnings to finance the development and expansion of our products and business. Accordingly, our stockholders will not realize a return on their investments unless the trading price of our common stock appreciates.

We will continue to incur increased costs as a result of being a U.S. reporting company and we have limited experience as a U.S. reporting company.

In connection with the effectiveness of our registration statement on Form 10, as of February 14, 2012, we became subject to the periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. Although we were previously listed on the ASX and had been required to file financial information and make certain other filings with the ASX, our status as a U.S. reporting company under the Exchange Act has caused us, and will continue to cause us, to incur additional legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not previously incur, including costs related to compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the listing requirements of NASDAQ. We expect these rules and regulations will continue to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly, and these activities may increase general and administrative expenses and divert management's time and attention away from revenue-generating activities. We also expect these rules and regulations may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve on our board of directors or as executive officers.

Investors could lose confidence in our financial reports, and the value of our common stock may be adversely affected, if our internal controls over financial reporting are found not to be effective by management or by an independent registered public accounting firm or if we make disclosure of existing or potential material weaknesses in those controls.

In connection with becoming a company required to file reports with the SEC, we are required to comply with the internal control evaluation and certification requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (*"SOX"*). Our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to formally attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of SOX until the date we are no longer an "emerging growth company" as defined in the JOBS Act or a "smaller reporting company" as defined by applicable SEC rules.

We continue to evaluate our existing internal controls over financial reporting against the standards adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. During the course of our ongoing evaluation of the internal controls, we may identify areas requiring improvement, and may have to design enhanced processes and controls to address issues identified through this review. Remediating any deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that we or our independent registered public accounting firm may identify may require us to incur significant costs and expend significant time and management resources. We cannot assure you that any of the measures we implement to remedy any such deficiencies will effectively mitigate or remedy such deficiencies. The existence of one or more material weaknesses could affect the accuracy and timing of our financial reporting. Investors could lose confidence in our financial reports, and the value of our common stock may be harmed, if our internal controls over financial reporting are found not to be effective by management or by an independent registered public accounting firm or if we make disclosure of existing or potential material weaknesses in those controls.

Our certificate of incorporation designates the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware as the sole and exclusive forum for certain litigation that may be initiated by our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with the Company.

Our certificate of incorporation provides that, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers or other employees or our

stockholders, (iii) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, as amended (the "*DGCL*"), or (iv) any other action asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of our capital stock shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to the provisions described above. This forum selection provision may limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a judicial forum that they find favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or other employees or stockholders.

Our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and stockholder rights plan, as well as certain provisions of the DGCL, may delay or deter a change of control transaction.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of deterring takeovers, such as those provisions authorizing our board of directors to issue, from time to time, any series of preferred stock and fix the designation, powers, preferences and rights of the shares of such series of preferred stock; prohibiting stockholders from acting by written consent in lieu of a meeting; requiring advance notice of stockholder intention to put forth director nominees or bring up other business at a stockholders' meeting; prohibiting stockholders from calling a special meeting of stockholders; requiring a 66 2/3% majority stockholder approval in order for stockholders to amend certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or adopt new bylaws; providing that, subject to the rights of preferred shares, the directors will be divided into three classes and the number of directors is to be fixed exclusively by our board of directors; and providing that none of our directors may be removed without cause. Section 203 of the DGCL, from which we did not elect to opt out, provides that if a holder acquires 15% or more of our stock without prior approval of our board of directors, that holder will be subject to certain restrictions on its ability to acquire us within three years. These provisions may delay or deter a change of control of us, and could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Further, on June 14, 2013, our board of directors adopted a stockholder rights plan, which is designed to assure that all of our stockholders receive fair and equal treatment in the event of any proposed takeover of the Company and to guard against partial tender offers, open market accumulations and other abusive or coercive tactics without paying stockholders a control premium. The stockholder rights plan may have anti-takeover effects by discouraging potential proxy contests and other takeover attempts, particularly those that have not been negotiated with the board of directors, and the stockholder rights plan may also inhibit the acquisition of a controlling position in our common stock. Therefore, transactions may not occur that stockholders would otherwise support and/or from which they would receive a substantial premium for their shares over the current market price. The stockholder rights plan may also make it more difficult to remove members of the current board of directors or management.

It may be difficult to effect service of U.S. process and enforce U.S. legal process against one of our directors.

One of our seven directors resides outside of the United States, specifically in Australia. A substantial portion of the assets of this director is also located outside of the United States. Therefore, it may not be possible to effect service of process within the United States upon this director in order to enforce judgments of U.S. courts against this director based on the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws. In addition, there is doubt as to the enforceability in Australia, in original actions or in actions to enforce judgments of U.S. courts, of claims predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws. This could make it more difficult or impossible for investors to litigate or recover damages from this director in securities litigation or other claims.

We are an "emerging growth company" under federal securities laws and we cannot be certain if the reduced reporting requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an "emerging growth company," as defined in the JOBS Act. For as long as we continue to be an emerging growth company, we may take advantage of exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of SOX, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. The JOBS Act also permits emerging growth companies. We could be an emerging growth company for up to five years, although we could lose that status sooner if our revenues exceed \$1 billion, if we issue more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt in a three-year period, or if the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of any June 30 before that time, in which case we would no longer be an emerging growth company as of the following December 31. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may decline or be more volatile.

As explained above, Section 102(b)(1) of the JOBS Act also provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting

standards. An emerging growth company can delay the adoption of new or revised accounting standards that have different effective dates for public and private companies until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to take advantage of the benefits of this extended transition period, and as a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to those of companies that comply with public company effective dates for new or revised accounting standards for U.S. public companies.

A sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may cause the price of our common stock to decline.

As of December 31, 2013, we had 16.8 million shares of our common stock outstanding. If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, for example, liquidation of shares held by our principal stockholders, including shares issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, the market price of our common stock could decline. These sales also might make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem reasonable or appropriate.

We have a large number of authorized but unissued shares of stock, which could negatively impact a potential investor if they purchased our common stock.

Our certificate of incorporation provides for 100,000,000 shares of authorized common stock, of which more than 80,000,000 shares are available for future issuance, and 40,000,000 shares of authorized preferred stock, 30,000 shares of which are designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock in connection with the stockholder rights plan and all of which are available for future issuance. The issuance of additional shares of common stock may have a dilutive effect on earnings per share and relative voting power and may cause a decline in the trading price of our common stock. We could use the shares of common stock that are available for future issuance in dilutive equity financing transactions, or to oppose a hostile takeover attempt or delay or prevent changes in control or changes in or removal of management, including transactions that are favored by a majority of the stockholders or in which the stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then-current market prices or benefit in some other manner.

Our board of directors will be authorized, without further stockholder approval, to issue up to 40,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such rights, preferences and privileges as our board may determine. These rights, preferences and privileges may include dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights and liquidation rights that may be greater than the rights of our common stock. As a result, the rights of holders of our common stock will be subject to, and could be adversely affected by, the rights of holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future.

On June 14, 2013, our board of directors authorized 30,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock in connection with the Company's adoption of the stockholder rights plan.

If equity research analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our common stock, the price of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock relies in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about us and our business. We do not control these analysts. The price of our common stock could decline if one or more equity analysts downgrade our common stock or if analysts issue other unfavorable commentary or cease publishing reports about us or our business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We lease a 23,000 square foot facility located in Eden Prairie, Minnesota. The lease period commenced December 1, 2011 and extends through March 31, 2016. This facility serves as our corporate headquarters and houses substantially all of our functional areas. Monthly rent and electricity for our new headquarters total approximately \$21,000. The lease included several months abated rent and contains future rent escalation provisions based upon Consumer Price Indexes. Rent expense is being recorded across all periods covered by the lease. Previously we leased a 10,000 square foot facility in Eden Prairie, Minnesota that housed our corporate headquarters and substantially all of our functional areas, with the exception of a portion of our research and development activities. That lease expired September 30, 2012 and required a monthly payment of approximately \$11,000.

We believe that our current facilities are suitable and adequate to meet our current needs, and that suitable additional or substitute space will be available as needed to accommodate expansion of our operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information. Commencing February 16, 2012, our shares of common stock began trading on NASDAQ under the symbol "SSH." Our shares of common stock previously traded in the form of CDIs on the ASX under the symbol "SHC" from September 2004 until our delisting from the ASX, which occurred at the close of trading on May 6, 2013.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low trading prices for our common stock as reported on NASDAQ in U.S. Dollars.

Period	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2014		
First Quarter (through February 27, 2014)	11.49	8.30
Year Ended December 31, 2013		
First Quarter	8.13	5.21
Second Quarter	6.40	4.87
Third Quarter	13.80	5.34
Fourth Quarter	12.04	7.71
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
First Quarter (from February 16, 2012)	22.90	8.50
Second Quarter	8.85	2.50
Third Quarter	13.30	3.01
Fourth Quarter	8.54	6.08

Stockholders of Record. As of February 27, 2014, we had 16,862,596 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, and there were 266 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends. We have not historically paid cash dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to finance the expansion and growth of our business, and we do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Payment of future cash dividends, if any, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors after taking into account various factors, including our financial condition, earnings, capital requirements of our operating subsidiaries, covenants associated with any debt obligations, legal requirements, regulatory constraints and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. Moreover, if we determine to pay any dividends in the future, there can be no assurance that we will continue to pay such dividends.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

We issued the securities indicated below, which were not registered under the Securities Act, during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Shares of Common Stock	Exerci	ise Price Per Share (Australian
Date Issued	Issued		Dollars)
July 2, 2013	23	\$	6.40
September 27, 2013	973	\$	6.40
November 18, 2013	1,453	\$	6.40

The issuances referenced above relate to the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the exercise of certain warrants. The issuance of these shares was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act relative to transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering. In connection with the exercise of the warrants:

- each of the purchasers represented to us that they were accredited investors and were acquiring the shares for investment purposes only and not with a view to, or for sale in connection with, any distribution thereof and that they could bear the risks of the investment and could hold the securities for an indefinite period of time;
- each of the purchasers received written disclosures that the securities had not been registered under the Securities Act and that any resale must be made pursuant to a registration statement or an available exemption from such registration;

- all certificates representing the securities sold included appropriate legends setting forth that the securities had not been offered or sold pursuant to a registration statement and describing the applicable restrictions on transfer of the securities; and
- there were no underwriters employed in connection with the above mentioned transactions.

In addition, on January 15, 2013, we entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement with Aspire Capital, which provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions and limitations set forth therein, Aspire Capital is committed to purchase up to an aggregate of \$25 million in shares of our common stock over the term of the Purchase Agreement. In consideration for entering into the Purchase Agreement, concurrently with the execution of the Purchase Agreement, we issued to Aspire Capital 80,257 shares of our common stock. We subsequently sold an additional 146,886 shares of common stock to Aspire Capital at a purchase price determined in accordance with the Purchase Agreement. The issuances of these shares and all other shares of common stock that may be issued from time to time to Aspire Capital under the Purchase Agreement are exempt from registration under the Securities Act, pursuant to the exemption for transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated thereunder. The resale by Aspire Capital of the shares previously issued and all other shares of common stock that may be issued from time to time to time to Aspire Capital under the Purchase Agreement was registered on a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933 (File No. 333-186259), which registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on February 13, 2013.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our audited financial statements and related notes which are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements included in this discussion as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those discussed in "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a medical device company developing innovative technologies for cardiac and coronary disease. The Company's primary product, the C-Pulse® Heart Assist System, is an implantable, non-blood contacting, heart assist therapy for the treatment of moderate to severe heart failure, which can be implanted using a minimally invasive procedure. The C-Pulse System is designed to relieve the symptoms of heart failure through the use of counter-pulsation technology by enabling an increase in cardiac output, an increase in coronary blood flow, and a reduction in the heart's pumping load.

We are in the process of pursuing regulatory approvals necessary to sell our system in the United States. We completed enrollment of our North American feasibility clinical study in the first half of 2011. In November 2011, we announced the preliminary results of the six-month follow-up period for the feasibility study and we submitted the clinical data to the FDA. In March 2012, the FDA notified us that it completed its review of the C-Pulse System feasibility trial data, concluded we met the applicable agency requirements, and indicated that we can move forward with an investigational device exemption application. In November 2012, the FDA provided us with unconditional approval to initiate a pivotal study. Enrollment of our COUNTER HFTM pivotal study commenced in September 2013.

We obtained CE Mark approval for the C-Pulse System in July 2012 and have taken initial steps to evaluate the market potential for our system in targeted countries that accept the CE Mark in anticipation of commencing commercial sales. In order to gain additional clinical data and support reimbursement in Europe, we have initiated a post-market study in Europe which may include data from other geographies (e.g., Canada) that will evaluate endpoints similar to those for our U.S. pivotal study and enrollment under this study commenced in the second quarter of 2013.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of a customer arrangement exists; (ii) the price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or uncertainties; (iii) collectability is reasonably assured; and (iv) product delivery has occurred, which is when product title transfers to the customer, or services have been rendered. Sales are not conditional based on customer acceptance provisions or installation obligations. Our C-Pulse System is not approved for commercial sale. However, the FDA has assigned the

C-Pulse System to a Category B designation, making it eligible for reimbursement at certain U.S. sites during our clinical studies. Consequently, we are able to invoice hospitals and clinics that are eligible for reimbursement by Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance companies. Our revenue consists solely of sales of the C-Pulse System to hospitals and clinics who participate in our clinical trials per the terms of the clinical study contracts. For clinical studies are deemed to be development costs and, accordingly, are expensed to research and development as incurred. Upon commercialization, product costs will be capitalized in inventory and recorded to cost of sales as the inventory is sold. We do not charge hospitals and clinics for shipping. We expense shipping costs at the time we report the related revenue and record such costs in cost of sales.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transactions

Foreign denominated monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date. Results of operations are translated using the average rates prevailing during the reporting period. We have concluded that the functional currency of our U.S.-based parent company is the U.S. Dollar, the functional currency of the Australian subsidiary is the Australian Dollar and the functional currency of the Ireland subsidiary is the Euro. Translation adjustments result from translating the subsidiaries' financial statements into our reporting currency, the U.S. Dollar. The translation adjustment has not been included in determining our net loss, but has been reported separately and is accumulated in a separate component of equity.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, restricted stock units, warrants and common stock awards in the income statement as an operating expense based on their fair value over the requisite service period.

We compute the estimated fair values of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Market price at the date of grant is used to calculate the fair value of restricted stock units, warrants and common stock awards. No tax benefit has been recorded due to the full valuation allowance on deferred tax assets that we have recorded.

Stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest and is reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Equity instruments issued to non-employees, and for services and goods, are shares of our common stock, warrants or options to purchase shares of our common stock. These shares, warrants or options are either fully-vested and exercisable at the date of grant or vest over a certain period during which services are provided. We expense the fair market value of these securities over the period in which the related services are received, in most cases, recorded based upon liability measurement at each reporting period for awards to consultants.

Going Concern

Our financial statements have been prepared and presented on a basis assuming we continue as a going concern.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we incurred losses from operations and net cash outflows from operating activities as disclosed in the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows, respectively.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on our ability to raise additional capital based on the achievement of existing development, clinical and regulatory milestones as and when required. Our directors, after due consideration, believe that we will be able to raise new equity capital as required to fund our business plan. Should our future efforts to raise capital not be successful, we may not be able to continue as a going concern. Furthermore, our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to develop and successfully commercialize our C-Pulse System being developed. If we are unable to obtain such funding of an amount and on a timeline necessary to meet our future operational plans, or to successfully commercialize our intellectual property, we may be unable to continue as a going concern. No adjustments have been made relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we not continue as a going concern.

Accounting Standards Applicable to Emerging Growth Companies

We qualify as an "emerging growth company" pursuant to the provisions of the JOBS Act, enacted on April 5, 2012. Section 102(b)(1) of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. An emerging growth company can delay the adoption of new or revised accounting standards that have different effective dates for public and private companies until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to take advantage of the benefits of this extended

transition period, and as a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to those of companies that comply with public company effective dates for new or revised accounting standards for U.S. public companies.

Internal Controls and Procedures

Our independent registered public accounting firm is not yet required to formally attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, and will not be required to do so for as long as we are an "emerging growth company" pursuant to the provisions of the JOBS Act. However, management is subject to SOX 404(a) and is required to report annually on effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Financial Overview

We are an early-stage medical device company focused on developing, manufacturing and commercializing our C-Pulse System for treatment of Class III and ambulatory Class IV heart failure. Our activities since inception have consisted principally of raising capital, performing research and development and conducting pre-clinical and clinical studies. At December 31, 2013, we had an accumulated deficit of \$101.0 million and we expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future. To date, we have been funded by private and public equity financings. Although we believe that we will be able to successfully fund our operations, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so or that we will ever operate profitably.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2013 to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Revenue

Year Ended		Year Ended		
December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
\$	59,000	\$	\$ 59,000	N/A

Sales of the C-Pulse System to hospitals and clinics under contract in conjunction with our North American FDA clinical trials historically have generated all of our revenue. Our C-Pulse System is not approved for commercial sale. However, the FDA has assigned the C-Pulse System to a Category B designation, making it eligible for reimbursement at certain U.S. sites during our clinical studies. Consequently, we are able to invoice hospitals and clinics that are eligible for reimbursement by Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance companies. One C-Pulse System device was implanted in 2013 for which we recognized revenue, as compared to none during 2012. We expect our revenue will be minimal until we begin enrolling patients in our North American pivotal clinical study at an increased rate and establish reimbursement in our recently initiated post-marketing trial in select countries in Europe. Product costs incurred for our clinical trials are deemed to be development costs and, accordingly, are expensed to research and development as incurred.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Year Ended		Year Ended		
December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	 Increase (Decrease)	% Change
\$	9,426,000	\$ 6,866,000	\$ 2,560,000	37.3%

Our increase in selling, general and administrative expense in 2013 compared to 2012 is attributed to increased infrastructure expenses, professional fees, and compensation expense to support our anticipated growth, including increased equity compensation costs of approximately \$1.9 million. We expect our selling, general and administrative expense will continue to be above comparable prior year period levels in future periods as a result of the infrastructure recently put in place to support our growth.

Research and Development Expense

Year Ended		Year Ended		
December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	 Increase (Decrease)	% Change
\$	13,504,000	\$ 8,003,000	\$ 5,501,000	68.7%

Our increase in research and development expense in 2013 compared to 2012 resulted primarily from increased personnel and clinical research infrastructure to support our clinical studies in North America and Europe. We expect our research and development expense will continue to be above prior year levels throughout 2014 as we add personnel to support our clinical studies and pursue our development efforts.

Other Income (expense), net

Year Ended December 31, 2013		Year Ended December 31, 2012	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
\$	(100,000)	\$ 33,000	\$ (133,000)	403.0)%

Interest income in 2013 was offset by foreign currency exchange losses, primarily on intercompany liabilities of our Australian subsidiary, which compared to interest income earned on cash balances held in 2012.

Income Tax Benefit

Year Ended		Year Ended		
December 31, 2013		 December 31, 2012	 Increase (Decrease)	% Change
\$	1,213,000	\$ 771,000	\$ 442,000	57.3%

Our income tax benefits for 2013 and 2012 resulted from research and development tax credits in Australia and Minnesota. We completed our Australian tax return for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2012 in 2013 and received a \$1,077,000 research and development tax credit refund during the year. We completed our Australian tax return for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2011 in 2012 and received a \$730,000 research and development tax credit refund during the year. We completed our Minnesota tax return for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2012 and recognized a \$136,000 research and development tax credit refund in 2013. We completed our Minnesota tax return for the tax year ended December 31, 2011 and recognized a \$41,000 research and development tax credit refund in 2012. Assuming no further changes to the applicable Australian law for research and development tax credits, we expect to receive research and development tax credit refunds in the future in decreased amounts that vary based on reduced research and development tax credit refund that may be available for the period ended June 30, 2013. The Minnesota research and development tax credit is no longer in effect for tax years beyond 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

We have funded our operations primarily through a series of equity issuances, including the issuance of common shares for net cash proceeds of \$57.6 million and \$20.8 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, cash and cash equivalents were \$54.1 million and \$14.2 million, respectively.

From time to time we may seek to sell additional equity or convertible debt securities or enter into credit facilities. The sale of additional equity, debt, or convertible debt securities may result in dilution to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt, convertible debt or enter into credit facilities, these securities and debt holders could have rights senior to those of our common stock, and this debt could contain covenants that would restrict our operations and would require us to use cash for debt service rather than our operations. We may require additional capital beyond our currently forecasted amounts. Although we have successfully financed our operations through the issuance of common stock and warrants to date, any such required additional capital may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$17.4 and \$13.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net cash used in each of these periods primarily reflects the net loss for those periods, offset in part by stock-based compensation, depreciation, amortization of warrants issued for services and the effects of changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The majority of cash used in investing activities in 2013 and 2012 was for equipment to support our assembly, research and development and clinical study activities.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$57.6 million and \$20.8 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net cash provided by financing activities was attributable to proceeds from sales of our common stock and exercise of warrants.

Capital Resource Requirements

As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any material commitments for capital expenditures.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders Sunshine Heart, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sunshine Heart, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sunshine Heart, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has recurring losses from operations and projected future capital requirements that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not contain any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Ernst and Young LLP Minneapolis, Minnesota March 14, 2014

Consolidated Balance Sheets

In thousands, except share and per share amounts	De	ec 31, 2013	D	ec 31, 2012
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	54,136	\$	14,224
Accounts receivable		59		
Other current assets		448		333
Total current assets		54,643		14,557
Property, plant and equipment, net		587		479
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	55,230	\$	15,036
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	2,188	\$	1,156
Accrued salaries, wages, and other compensation		1,315		931
Total current liabilities		3,503		2,087
Total liabilities		3,503		2,087
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' equity				
Series A junior participating preferred stock as of December 31, 2013 and December 31,				
2012, \$0.0001 par value per share; authorized 30,000 shares		—		
Preferred stock as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$0.0001 par value per				
share; authorized 39,970,000 shares				
Common stock as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, par value \$0.0001 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 16,825,284 and 9,282,724,				
respectively		2		1
Additional paid-in capital		151,530		91,017
Accumulated other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment		1,207		1,185
Accumulated deficit		(101,012)		(79,254)
Total stockholders' equity		51,727		12,949
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	55,230	\$	15,036

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	Year ended			
In thousands, except per share amounts	D	ec 31, 2013]	Dec 31, 2012
Net sales	\$	59	\$	_
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative		9,426		6,866
Research and development		13,504		8,003
Total operating expenses		22,930		14,869
Loss from operations		(22,871)		(14,869)
Other income (expense), net		(100)		33
Loss before income taxes		(22,971)		(14,836)
Income tax benefit		1,213		771
Net loss	\$	(21,758)	\$	(14,065)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$	(1.71)	\$	(1.98)
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic and diluted		12,723		7,099
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$	22	\$	53
Total comprehensive loss	\$	(21,736)	\$	(14,012)

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

In thousands	Outstanding Shares	Common Stock		 dditional Paid in Capital	Com	umulated Other prehensive ncome	A	ccumulated Deficit		ckholders' Equity
Balance December 31, 2011	6,019	\$	1	\$ 68,652	\$	1,132	\$	(65,189)	-	4,596
Comprehensive loss:										
Net loss								(14,065)		(14,065)
Foreign currency translation										
adjustment						53				53
Total comprehensive loss										(14,012)
Stock based compensation				1,248						1,248
Issuance of common stock, net	3,264			20,837						20,837
Issuance of warrants for service										
agreement			_	280						280
Balance December 31, 2012	9,283		1	91,017		1,185		(79,254)		12,949
Comprehensive loss:										
Net loss								(21,758)		(21,758)
Foreign currency translation										
adjustment						22				22
Total comprehensive loss										(21,736)
Stock based compensation	56			2,804						2,804
Reclassification of stock options										
as liability awards				(95)						(95)
Issuance of common stock, net	7,486		1	57,565						57,566
Issuance of warrants for service										
agreement				 239						239
Balance December 31, 2013	16,825	\$	2	\$ 151,530	\$	1,207	\$	(101,012)	\$	51,727

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

		Year e	ended	
In thousands	De	c 31, 2013		ec 31, 2012
Net loss	\$	(21,758)	\$	(14,065)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flows from operating activities:				
Depreciation		185		138
Stock based compensation expense, net		2,953		1,248
Abandonment of fixed assets				63
Amortization of warrants for service agreements		239		280
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable		(59)		
Other current assets		(22)		13
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		1,100		(760)
Net cash used in operations		(17,362)		(13,083)
Cash flows used in investing activities:				
Purchase of property and equipment		(293)		(158)
Net cash used in investing activities		(293)		(158)
Cash flows provided by financing activities:				
Net proceeds from the sale of common stock		57,566		20,837
Net cash provided by financing activities		57,566		20,837
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		1		65
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		39,912		7,661
Cash and cash equivalents-beginning of period		14,224		6,563
Cash and cash equivalents—end of period	\$	54,136	\$	14,224
Supplemental Schedule of non-cash activities				
Stock options and restricted stock units classified as liabilities, net	\$	206	\$	—

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Note 1—Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business

Sunshine Heart, Inc. was founded in November 1999 and incorporated in Delaware in August 2002. We are headquartered in Eden Prairie, Minnesota and have a wholly owned subsidiary, Sunshine Heart Company Pty Ltd., located in Clontarf, New South Wales, Australia and a wholly owned subsidiary, Sunshine Heart Ireland Limited, located in Dublin, Ireland. We are a medical device company developing innovative technologies for cardiac and coronary disease. The Company's primary product, the C-Pulse® Heart Assist System, is an implantable, non-blood contacting, heart assist therapy for the treatment of moderate to severe heart failure which can be implanted using a minimally invasive procedure. The C-Pulse System is designed to relieve the symptoms of heart failure through the use of counter-pulsation technology by enabling an increase in cardiac output, an increase in coronary blood flow, and a reduction in the heart's pumping load. The Company has received approval from the FDA to conduct a U.S. feasibility clinical study with the C-Pulse System. Commencing February 16, 2012, our shares of common stock began trading on NASDAQ under the symbol "SSH." Our shares of common stock previously traded in the form of CDIs on the ASX under the symbol "SHC" from September 2004 until our delisting from the ASX, which occurred at the close of trading on May 6, 2013.

Going Concern

The Company's financial statements have been prepared and presented on a basis assuming it continues as a going concern.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company incurred losses from operations and net cash outflows from operating activities as disclosed in the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows, respectively. At December 31, 2013, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$101.0 million and expects to incur losses for the foreseeable future. To date, the Company has been funded by private and public equity financings. Although the Company believes that it will be able to successfully fund its operations, there can be no assurance the Company we will be able to do so or that the Company will ever operate profitably.

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on the Company's ability to raise additional capital based on the achievement of existing milestones as and when required. Should future capital raising be unsuccessful, the Company may not be able to continue as a going concern. Furthermore, the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is subject to the ability of the Company to develop and successfully commercialize the product being developed. If the Company is unable to obtain such funding of an amount and timing necessary to meet its future operational plans, or to successfully commercialize its intellectual property, the Company may be unable to continue as a going concern. No adjustments have been made relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company not continue as a going concern.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sunshine Heart, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Sunshine Heart Company Pty Ltd. and Sunshine Heart Ireland Limited. All inter-company accounts and transactions between consolidated entities have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. We believe that the carrying amounts of the financial instruments approximate their respective current fair values due to their relatively short maturities.

Pursuant to the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") Accounting Standards Codification (the "ASC") Topic 820 "Fair Value Measurement," the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1 Financial instruments with unadjusted quoted prices listed on active market exchanges.
- *Level 2* Financial instruments lacking unadjusted, quoted prices from active market exchanges, including over the counter traded financial instruments. The prices for the financial instruments are determined using prices for recently traded financial instruments with similar underlying terms as well as directly or indirectly observable inputs, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.
- *Level 3* Financial instruments that are not actively traded on a market exchange. This category includes situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the financial instrument. The prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs or valuation techniques.

All cash equivalents are considered Level 1 measurements for all periods presented. We do not have any financial instruments classified as Level 2 or Level 3 and there were no movements between these categories.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, money market funds and term deposits with original maturities of three months or less. The carrying value of these instruments approximates fair value. The balances, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. We have not experienced any losses on our cash and cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are unsecured, are recorded at net realizable value, and do not bear interest. We make judgments as to our ability to collect outstanding receivables based upon significant patterns of uncollectibility, historical experience, and managements' evaluation of specific accounts and will provide an allowance for credit losses when collection becomes doubtful. The Company performs credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition on an as-needed basis. Payment is generally due 30 days from the invoice date and accounts past 30 days are individually analyzed for collectability. When all collection efforts have been exhausted, the account is written off against the related allowance. No allowance for doubtful accounts was considered necessary as of December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Other Current Assets

Other current assets represent prepayments and deposits made by the Company.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed based upon the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the assets. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Major betterments and improvements, which extend the useful life of the item, are capitalized and depreciated. The cost and accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment retired or otherwise disposed of are removed from the related accounts, and any residual values are charged or credited to expenses. Depreciation expense has been calculated using the following estimated useful lives:

Office furniture and equipment	5-15 years
Computer software and equipment	3-4 years
Laboratory and research equipment	3-15 years
Production equipment	3-7 years

Depreciation expense was \$185 and \$138 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If the impairment tests indicate that the carrying value of the asset is greater than the expected undiscounted cash flows to be generated by such asset, further analysis is performed to determine the fair value of the asset. To the extent the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized. We generally measure fair value by considering sale prices for similar assets or by discounting estimated future cash flows from such assets using an appropriate discount rate. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of their carrying value or fair

value less costs to sell. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate the fair value of assets, and accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. There have been no impairment losses for long-lived assets, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of a customer arrangement exists; (ii) the price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or uncertainties; (iii) collectability is reasonably assured; and (iv) product delivery has occurred, which is when product title transfers to the customer, or services have been rendered. Sales are not conditional based on customer acceptance provisions or installation obligations. Our C-Pulse System is not approved for commercial sale. However, the FDA has assigned the C-Pulse System to a Category B designation, making it eligible for reimbursement at certain U.S. sites during our clinical studies. Consequently, we are able to invoice hospitals and clinics that are eligible for reimbursement by Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance companies. Our revenue consists solely of sales of the C-Pulse System to hospitals and clinics who participate in our clinical trials per the terms of the clinical trial contracts. For clinical trial implant revenue, the product title generally transfers on the date the product is implanted. Product costs incurred for our clinical trials are deemed to be development costs and are expensed to research and development as incurred. Upon commercialization, product costs will be capitalized in inventory and recorded to cost of sales as the inventory is sold. We do not charge hospitals and clinics for shipping. We expense shipping costs at the time of shipment.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transactions

Foreign denominated monetary assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date. Results of operations are translated using the average rates prevailing during the reporting period. The translation adjustment has not been included in determining the Company's net loss, but has been reported separately and is accumulated in a separate component of equity. For financial reporting purposes, the reporting currency of the Company is the U.S. Dollar. When a transaction is denominated in a currency other than the entity's functional currency, the Company recognizes a transaction gain or loss in net earnings.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, restricted stock units and common stock awards in the income statement as an operating expense, based on their fair value. The Company's stock awards use a graded vesting schedule. We recognize the option expense over the requisite service period.

The Company computes the estimated fair values of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Market price at the date of grant is used to calculate the fair value of restricted stock units and common stock awards. No tax benefit has been recorded due to the full valuation allowance on deferred tax assets that the Company has recorded.

Stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest and is reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Equity instruments issued to non-employees, and for services and goods are shares of the Company's common stock, warrants or options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. These shares, warrants or options are either fully-vested and exercisable at the date of grant or vest over a certain period during which services are provided. The Company expenses the fair market value of these securities over the period in which the related services are received, in most cases, and is recognized based upon liability measurement at each reporting period for awards to consultants.

See Note 3 for further information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of share-based compensation.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences, which are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Net Loss per Share

Basic net loss attributable to common stockholders, on a per share basis, is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders (the numerator) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (the denominator) during the period. Shares issued during the period and shares reacquired during the period are weighted for the portion of the period that they were outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings per share (*"EPS"*) is similar to the computation of basic EPS except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares had been issued and computed in accordance with the treasury stock method. In addition, in computing the dilutive effect of convertible securities, the numerator is adjusted to add back the after-tax amount of interest recognized in the period associated with any convertible debt. Shares reserved for outstanding stock warrants and options and restricted stock units totaling 3,623,806 and 2,746,497 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were excluded from the computation of loss per share as their effect was antidilutive due to the Company's net loss in each of those years.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of development personnel and non-employee contractor costs related to the development of new products and services, enhancement of existing products and services, quality assurance and testing. The Company incurred research and development expenses of \$13,504 and \$8,003 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Reverse Stock Split

On January 24, 2012, the board of directors declared a 1-for-200 reverse stock split and a corresponding inverse change in the transmutation ratio of CDIs trading on the ASX in Australia such that from and after such date, one CDI represented 1/200th of a share. The reverse split and change in transmutation ratio became effective for trading on the ASX on January 30, 2012. All share and per share data included in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been adjusted to reflect this reverse stock split.

Subsequent Events

The Company evaluates events through the date the financial statements are filed for events requiring adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements.

Note 2—Balance Sheet Information

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment were as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Office Furniture & Fixtures	\$ 111	\$ 102
Leasehold Improvements	145	145
Software	61	12
Production Equipment	574	425
Computer Equipment	204	118
Total	1,095	802
Accumulated Depreciation	(508)	(323)
	\$ 587	\$ 479

Note 3—Equity

Stockholder Rights Plan

On June 14, 2013, the Company adopted a stockholder rights plan (the "*Rights Plan*"), which entitles the holders of the rights to purchase from the Company 1/1,000th of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, at a purchase price of \$35.00 per share, as adjusted (a "*Right*"), upon certain trigger events. In connection therewith, on June 14, 2013, the Company's board of directors authorized 30,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock and it declared a dividend of one Right per each share of common stock of the Company outstanding as of June 24, 2013. Each 1/1,000th of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock has terms that are substantially the economic and voting equivalent of one share of the Company's common stock. However, until a Right is exercised or exchanged in accordance with the provisions of the Rights Plan, the holder thereof will have no rights as a stockholder of the Company, including, but not limited to, the right to vote for the election of directors or upon any matter submitted to stockholders of the Company. The Rights Plan has a three-year term and the

board of directors may terminate the Rights Plan at any time (subject to the redemption of the Rights for a nominal value). The Rights may cause substantial dilution to a person or group (together with all affiliates and associates of such person or group and any person or group of persons acting in concert therewith) that acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's stock on terms not approved by the board of directors or takes other specified actions.

Common Stock Purchase Agreement

On January 15, 2013, we entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement (the "*Purchase Agreement*") with Aspire Capital Fund, LLC ("*Aspire Capital*"), which provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions and limitations set forth therein, Aspire Capital is committed to purchase up to an aggregate of \$25 million in shares of our common stock (the "*Purchase Shares*") over an approximately two-year period at purchase prices determined in accordance with the Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, we have filed and maintain a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC under which we have registered 3,000,000 shares of our common stock for resale by Aspire Capital.

In consideration for entering into the Purchase Agreement, concurrently with the execution of the Purchase Agreement, we issued to Aspire Capital 80,257 shares of our common stock as a commitment fee (the *"Commitment Shares"*). The Purchase Agreement provides that we may not issue and sell more than 1,856,616 shares, or 19.99% of the Company's outstanding shares as of January 15, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, we have sold 146,886 shares of common stock to Aspire Capital pursuant to the Purchase Agreement. Including the Commitment Shares, an aggregate of 227,143 shares of common stock have been issued to Aspire Capital pursuant to the Purchase Agreement.

The Purchase Agreement contains customary representations, warranties, covenants, closing conditions and indemnification and termination provisions by, among and for the benefit of the parties. The Purchase Agreement may be terminated by us at any time, at our discretion, without any cost or penalty to us. Aspire Capital has covenanted not to cause or engage in any manner whatsoever, any direct or indirect short selling or hedging of our shares. We did not pay Aspire Capital any expense reimbursement in connection with the transaction. There are no limitations on use of proceeds, financial or business covenants, restrictions on future financings, rights of first refusal, participation rights, penalties or liquidated damages in the Purchase Agreement.

Private Placement

In February 2012, the Company placed 256,875 shares of common stock (in the form of CDIs) for proceeds, net of transaction costs, of \$2,061.

Public Offering

On August 15, 2012 we sold 2,875,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at a price of \$7.00 per share and on August 20, 2012, we sold 94,800 shares of common stock upon the exercise of an over-allotment option by our underwriters, at a price of \$7.00 per share. Proceeds in the public offering and exercise of the over-allotment option, net of transaction costs, were \$18,552 in the aggregate.

On April 16, 2013 we sold 2,875,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at \$5.25 per share, including 375,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of the over-allotment option by our underwriters. Proceeds in the public offering and exercise of the over-allotment option, net of transaction costs were \$14,036 in the aggregate.

On September 24, 2013 we sold 4,381,500 shares of common stock in a public offering at \$10.50 per share, including 571,500 shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of the over-allotment option by our underwriters. Proceeds in the public offering and exercise of the over-allotment option, net of transaction costs were \$42,674 in the aggregate.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock Units

The Company has various share-based compensation plans, including the Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan, the Second Amended and Restated 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, the 2013 Non-Employee Directors' Equity Incentive Plan and the New-Hire Equity Incentive Plan (collectively, the *"Plans"*). The Plans are designed to assist in attracting, motivating and retaining employees and directors and to recognize the importance of employees to the long-term performance and success of the Company. The Company has also granted stock options to certain consultants outside of the Plans.

The Company recognized share-based compensation expense related to grants of stock options, restricted stock units and common stock awards to employees, directors and consultants of \$3,604 and \$1,248 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The following table summarizes the stock-based compensation expense which was recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 201		
Selling, general and administrative	\$	2,722	\$	806	
Research and development		882		442	
Total	\$	3,604	\$	1,248	

The Company has granted stock options to certain employees, directors and consultants under the Plans. The majority of the options to purchase common stock vest on the anniversary of the date of grant, which ranges from one to four years. Share-based compensation expense related to these awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the related vesting term in most cases, and is recognized based upon liability measurement at each reporting period for awards to consultants. It is the Company's policy to issue new shares upon the exercise of options.

The following is a summary of the Plan and non-Plan stock option activity during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Average Contractual Term (Years)		ggregate ntrinsic Value
Outstanding, December 31, 2011	886,833	\$ 10.05	9.21	\$	63
2012 Granted	284,875	6.63			
2012 Exercised	(3,990)	3.10			
2012 Forfeited/expired	(54,474)	7.65			
Outstanding, December 31, 2012	1,113,244	9.47	8.61		1
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	418,439	13.08	7.76		1
2013 Granted	905,900	8.17			
2013 Exercised					
2013 Forfeited/expired	(132,565)	10.06			
Outstanding, December 31, 2013	1,886,579	8.80	8.32	_	4,788
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	801,480	\$ 8.95	7.55	\$	2,154

The aggregate intrinsic value is defined as the difference between the market value of the Company's common stock as of the end of the period and the exercise price of the in-the-money stock options. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$0 and \$14, respectively. Of the 1,085,099 non-vested options at December 31, 2013, 15,000 were held by consultants. Total cash proceeds from exercised options were \$0 and \$10 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$5.54 and \$4.97, respectively.

The fair value of each stock option is estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company has not historically paid cash dividends to its stockholders, and currently does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result the Company has assumed a dividend yield of 0%. The 2013 risk free interest rate is based upon the rates of U.S. Treasury bills with a term equal to the expected term of the option. The expected term of the stock options to purchase common stock is based upon the outstanding contractual expected life of the stock option on the date of grant. The Company used the following weighted-average assumptions in calculating the fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	Year ended December 31		
	2013	2012	
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	
Risk-free interest rate	1.31%	1.52%	
Expected volatility	96%	101%	
Expected life (in years)	5.5	5.0	

As of December 31, 2013, the total compensation cost related to all non-vested stock option awards not yet recognized was \$5,891 and is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, a total of 105,605 restricted stock units were granted, at weighted average grant date fair value of \$11.32 per share. Restricted stock units vesting during the year totaled 21,477 and tendered restricted stock units were 9,779. Non-vested restricted stock units totaled 84,128 shares at December 31, 2013. There was no restricted stock unit activity prior to 2013.

Fully vested common stock awards totaling 105,605 shares at a weighted average value of \$11.32 per share were issued in the year ended December 31, 2013. Of these shares awarded, 49,137 shares were tendered to the Company to cover related employee payroll tax withholdings.

Warrants

Warrants to purchase 1,630,804 and 1,633,253 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As part of the private placement completed during 2012, the Company issued (i) 8,553 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of A\$8.00 per share, with a stated life of five years, and (ii) 77,063 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of A\$11.20 per share, with a stated life of four years.

On September 7, 2012, the Company issued 100,000 non-forfeitable and fully exercisable warrants to purchase common stock, having an exercise price of \$7.00 per share and a term of five years, pursuant to a professional services agreement extending through June 2013. These warrants were determined to have a fair value of \$519, of which \$239 and \$280 was charged to expense during 2013 and 2012, respectively. These warrants were issued pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, 2,449 and 32,396 warrants were exercised at a price of A\$6.40 and A\$6.40 for total proceeds of \$15 and \$212, respectively.

Note 4—Income Taxes

Domestic and foreign loss after provision for income taxes consists of the following:

	Dece	mber 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Domestic	\$	(22,013)	\$	(13,373)
Foreign		255		(692)
Total	\$	(21,758)	\$	(14,065)

The components of income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 consist of the following:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
Income tax provision:				
Current:				
United States and state	\$	(136)	\$	(41)
Foreign		(1,077)		(730)
Deferred:				
United States and state				
Foreign				
Total income tax benefit	\$	(1,213)	\$	(771)

Actual income tax expense differs from statutory federal income tax benefit for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	Decemb	er 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Statutory federal income tax benefit	\$	(7,810)	\$ (5,043)
State tax benefit, net of federal taxes		(1,363)	(824)
Foreign tax		33	57
R&D tax credit		(1,213)	(771)
Valuation allowance increase		8,654	5,632
Non deductible/nontaxable items		367	231
Other		119	(53)
Total income tax (benefit) expense	\$	(1,213)	\$ (771)

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	Decer	nber 31, 2012
Deferred tax assets:				
Current:				
Accrued leave	\$	76	\$	56
Other accrued expenses		114		
Total current deferred tax asset		190		56
Noncurrent:				
Stock based compensation		1,476		852
Net operating losses		31,958		27,136
Deferred rent		76		81
Other		46		124
R&D credits		531		245
Total noncurrent deferred tax assets		34,087		28,438
Total deferred tax assets	\$	34,277	\$	28,494
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Current:	\$		\$	
Noncurrent:				
Fixed assets		(37)		(50)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(37)		(50)
Net deferred tax asset		34,240		28,444
Less: valuation allowance		(34,240)		(28,444)
	\$		\$	

Deferred taxes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consist of the following:

The Company has revised certain 2012 amounts related to its presentation of gross deferred taxes, as well as the presentation of the components of its 2012 effective tax rate reconciliation. The revision to gross deferred tax assets results in a corresponding change in the Company's valuation allowance as of December 31, 2012; because of the corresponding change in the Company's valuation allowance the revision to gross deferred tax assets does not affect amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated balance sheet or the Company's overall effective tax rate as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had U.S. net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$45.7 million for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which expire between 2023 through 2033, and NOLs in the Commonwealth of Australia of approximately A\$50.5 million which the Company can carry forward indefinitely. U.S. NOL carryforwards cannot be used to offset taxable income in foreign jurisdictions. In addition, future utilization of NOL carryforwards in the United States may be subject to certain limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. This section generally relates to a 50% change in ownership of a company over a three-year period. No formal study has been prepared as of the balance sheet date to determine any applicable limitations on the utilization of the U.S. NOLs.

We received a \$1,077 fully refundable research and development tax credit in 2013, determined as a combined average of 44% of qualified research and development expenditures of our Australian subsidiary for its tax year ended June 30, 2012. The Australian research and development tax credit is paid as a refundable credit for total research and development expenses of our Australian subsidiary. We have not completed the Australian tax return for the period ended June 30, 2013, and we cannot be reasonably assured of the amount or eligibility of the refundable research and development credit resulting from our research and development expenses. Therefore, we have reflected \$0 net benefit related to the research and development credit for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013. During 2013, we also received refundable research and development tax credits totaling \$136 for the State of Minnesota for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. This credit is computed as a percentage of qualified research expenditures that were incurred in the State of Minnesota during the calendar year. The Minnesota research and development tax credit is no longer in effect for tax years beyond 2012.

We provide for a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that we will not realize a portion of the deferred tax assets. We have established a valuation allowance for U.S. and foreign deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty that enough taxable income will be generated in those taxing jurisdictions to utilize the assets. Therefore, we have not reflected any benefit of such deferred tax assets in the accompanying financial statements. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the valuation allowance increased by \$5.8 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.

The accounting guidance related to uncertain tax positions prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company had no material uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

We recognize interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as well as interest received from favorable tax settlements within income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we recorded no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

The fiscal tax years ended June 30, 2010 through December 31, 2013 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service. For the states of California and Minnesota, all years subsequent to the fiscal tax year ended June 30, 2010 are also open to examination. Additionally, the returns of the Company's Australian subsidiary are subject to examination by Australian tax authorities for the fiscal tax years ended June 30, 2009 through June 30, 2013.

Note 5—Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

We lease office space under a non-cancelable operating lease that expires in March 2016. We lease office equipment under non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various times through May 2016. The lease included several months abated rent and contains future rent escalation provisions based upon Consumer Price Indexes. Rent expense is being recorded across all periods covered by the lease. Rent expense related to operating leases was approximately \$196 and \$256 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013, were approximately \$278, \$272, \$70, \$0 and \$0 for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, through 2018, respectively.

Employee Benefits

All U.S. employees and all Australian employees are entitled to varying levels of benefits on retirement, disability or death. The superannuation plans provide accumulated benefits. Employees contribute to the plans at various percentages of their wages and salaries. Contributions by the Company to the U.S retirement plan are discretionary and no matching contributions were made for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Contributions by the Company of up to 9% of employees' wages and salaries are legally enforceable in Australia. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company incurred expenses of \$14 and \$30, respectively.

In 2012, the Company converted its health insurance plan to a high deductible plan with a Health Savings Account. The Company incurred an expense of \$90 and \$18 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 6—Segment and Geographic Information

The Company has one reportable segment, cardiac and coronary disease products. Beginning in 2012, interest income has been primarily earned in the United States. Previously, interest income was primarily earned in Australia.

At December 31, 2013, long-lived assets are located primarily in the United States.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2013, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (*"CEO"*) and Chief Financial Officer (*"CFO"*). Disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act, such as this Annual Report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed such that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that as of December 31, 2013, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the criteria in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("*COSO*"). Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* 1992 framework issued by the COSO, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the captions "Proposal 1 — Election of Directors," "Board Matters — Committees of the Board," "Board Matters — Corporate Governance," "Executive Officers" and "Additional Matters — Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the captions "Board Matters — Director Compensation," "Named Executive Officer Compensation Tables" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions — Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation."

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the captions "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and "Additional Matters — Equity Compensation Plan Information."

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the captions "Proposal 1 — Election of Directors — Director Independence" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions — Related Party Transactions."

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the caption "Audit Committee Matters."

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

(a) Financial Statements: The financial statements filed as a part of this report are listed in Part II, Item 8.

(b) Financial Statement Schedules: The schedules are either not applicable or the required information is presented in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(c) Exhibits: The exhibits incorporated by reference or filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are listed in the Exhibit Index immediately following the signatures to this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 14, 2014

SUNSHINE HEART, INC.

By: /S/ DAVID A. ROSA

David A. Rosa President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ DAVID A. ROSA David A. Rosa	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	March 14, 2014
/S/ JEFFREY S. MATHIESEN Jeffrey S. Mathiesen	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	March 14, 2014
/S/ PAUL R. BUCKMAN Paul R. Buckman	Director	March 14, 2014
/S/ GEOFFREY E. BROOKE Geoffrey E. Brooke	Director	March 14, 2014
/S/ JOHN L. ERB John L. Erb	Director	March 14, 2014
/S/JON W. SALVESON Jon W. Salveson	Director	March 14, 2014
/S/ GREGORY D. WALLER Gregory D. Waller	Director	March 14, 2014
/S/ WARREN S. WATSON Warren S. Watson	Director	March 14, 2014

Board of Directors John L. Erb (Chairman) Geoffrey E. Brooke, M.D. Paul R. Buckman David A. Rosa (Chief Executive Officer) Jon W. Salveson Gregory D. Waller Warren S. Watson

Company Secretary Jeffrey S. Mathiesen

Company Headquarters

12988 Valley View Road Eden Prairie, MN 55344 Website: sunshineheart.com Phone: 952-345-4200

Executive Officers

David A. Rosa Chief Executive Officer

Kevin P. Bassett Senior Vice President of Technology and Operations

Debra J. Kridner Executive Vice President of Regulatory Affairs

Jeffrey S. Mathiesen Chief Financial Officer

William S. Peters, M.D. Chief Technology Officer

Patrick Verta, M.D. Chief Medical Officer

James C. Yearick Vice President of Marketing and Sales Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP Minneapolis, MN

Transfer Agent and Registrar

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC 6201 15th Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11219 Website: amstock.com Phone: 1-800-937-5449

Thursday, May 29, 2014

Annual Meeting



12988 Valley View Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55344 Phone +1 952 345 4200

www.sunshineheart.com

CAUTION: C-Pulse is an Investigational device. The device is limited by Federal (or United States) Law to Investigational use only. It is not available for sale in the United States.